STRICTLY PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

<u>REVIEW CONDUCTED BY THE HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE DENHAM</u> (CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT 2011-2017)

HELD AT GREEN STREET DUBLIN 7 ON TUESDAY, 8TH SEPTEMBER 2020

Gwen Malone Stenography Services certify the following to be a verbatim transcript of the audio recording in the above-named action.

GWEN MALONE STENOGRAPHY SERVICES

ATTENDANCES

IN ATTENDANCE:

- MS. JUSTICE SUSAN DENHAM THE REVIEWRMR. SHANE MURPHY SC LEGAL ADVISERMR. PATRICK CONBOY RESEARCHER
- MR. JUSTICE SÉAMUS WOULFE
- MR. MICHAEL COLLINS SC

COPYRIGHT: Transcripts are the work of Gwen Malone Stenography Services and they must not be photocopied or reproduced in any manner or supplied or loaned by an appellant to a respondent or to any other party without written permission of Gwen Malone Stenography Services THE MEETING COMMENCED ON TUESDAY, 8TH SEPTEMBER 2020 AS FOLLOWS:

1

2

3

11

18

23

4 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I received a letter dated 25th 5 August 2020 from the Chief Justice formally requesting 6 me, on behalf of the Supreme Court, to consider certain 7 questions arising out of the attendance of 8 Mr. Justice Woulfe at an event in the West of Ireland o 9 19th August 2020 and to report conclusions and 10 recommendations to the Chief Justice.

I am asked to consider whether Mr. Justice Woulfe should have accepted the invitation to dinner; and, in addition, whether he should, in all the circumstances, have left the hotel in light of the situation prevailing. Further, whether he should have attended the golf event without attending the dinner.

In the context of these questions I was asked also to consider whether there was any relevant codes of practice or guidelines and to make any recommendations in that regard which I consider appropriate.

In accordance with the terms of reference set out above, I wish to emphasise that this review is solely a review of the information provided to me and does not in any way constitute an adjudication or purported findings of fact in relation to the events referred to herein.

Moreover, insofar as this review contains any opinion 1 2 or advice, this is provided strictly to the 3 Chief Justice, the Honourable Mr. Justice frank Clarke, 4 - as an expression of opinion for the benefit of the 5 Supreme Court. 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Could you slow down, slightly, 7 Judge. 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I'm just actually -- that is just the letter from 25th August. I will go a little slower 9 10 now. Sorry. 11 12 Accordingly, for the avoidance of doubt, I will be 13 expressing an opinion. 14 15 This is a non-statutory review. It is not a 16 fact-finding exercise. I propose to listen to what 17 Mr. Justice Woulfe says and what is said and presented 18 on his behalf and to consider and reflect upon it all. 19 I propose to exercise fair procedures, appropriate to 20 such a review in accordance with the law, and as recently described by the Supreme Court in 21 22 Shatter -v- Guerin. 23 24 When drafted I will send to Mr. Justice Woulfe a copy 25 of the draft review. I will consider, if he wishes, his views on the draft. I do not intend to publish the 26 27 report other than to the Chief Justice. 28 MR. COLLINS: Thank you very much, Judge. Judge, I was 29 proposing to make a few introductory remarks just

generally about the matter before you engage in your
 discussion and questioning with Judge Woulfe. What I
 was going to do is really I have a note of six general
 topics that I was going to try to cover.

6 First of all, I was going to say something briefly just 7 about the nature of the process that we're engaged in, 8 as you yourself have already outlined; secondly to discuss briefly what applicable standard of conduct is 9 it that you have to express a view on questions by 10 11 reference to. So, for example, should Judge Woulfe have done this or not done this? What standard does 12 that should imply and what are you measuring it 13 against?; thirdly, very briefly, the question of the 14 standard of review that you might adopt, should it 15 16 become necessary; fourthly I'm going to look at what I'll call the Covid issue generally - and we'll discuss 17 18 briefly what exactly is the issue; fifthly, I'm going 19 to discuss what I might loosely call the separation of powers point, but was there any impropriety or 20 appearance of impropriety in the fact of Judge Woulfe 21 22 attending the event which was styled an Oireachtas 23 event?; and finally I'm going to look, very briefly 24 then at the actual questions that you've been asked and 25 just see how I suggest that you might consider expressing a view in relation to that. 26

27

5

28 So, firstly, on the question of the nature of the 29 process, you've outlined what it is and it is, of

1 course, not an inquiry, it's not an adjudication, you 2 are not determining anybody's rights in any direct 3 sense, but I suppose in light of Shatter -v- Guerin it can't be gainsaid, I suppose, that even expressing a 4 5 view on whether a Supreme Court judge should or should not have done something, in the context of whether it 6 7 amounts to some degree of misconduct, however mild or 8 serious as the case may be, that has potential consequences which are uncertain at the moment, because 9 part of the problem with the process I suppose is we 10 11 don't quite know where it's going to go. You can only 12 do what you've been asked to do. It goes to the Chief 13 Justice, he then has to decide what he's going to do 14 with it and we don't know what he's going to do with 15 it.

If your views are, as I suggest they should be and as I 17 18 think I hope to be able to outline why it should be, 19 there may be no difficulties at all in relation to But nonetheless, were it to result in adverse 20 this. views being expressed vis-à-vis Judge Woulfe clearly 21 22 it's serious, even if they were of the mildest form it's still a serious matter for him. There's issues of 23 24 reputation and good name and more broad issues as well. So I think, therefore, it is important that we have 25 some anchor in terms of the appropriate standard that 26 27 we adopt. And I'm not going to get into this today, but if you were minded to make adverse findings of some 28 sort -- I'm sorry, I shouldn't make use the word 29

16

"findings" because of course that's not what you're 1 2 doing and I understand that and if I stray into that language it's inadvertent. But if you were to express 3 views that were adverse to him, certainly all of the 4 5 case law on professional misconduct cases in all OF the professions is assessed by reference to the standard of 6 7 beyond a reasonable doubt. And if it comes to it we 8 can make submissions to you perhaps at a later stage in relation to that, if that should become an issue, if it 9 should seem that you were minded to make adverse 10 11 expressions of view. I'm not going to say any more 12 about that today and I genuinely believe that I don't 13 think that is going to arise in all the circumstances. 14 So in terms of the standard of conduct. One thing that 15 struck me was when you look at the Chief Justice's 16 17 statement that was issued, he makes the point --MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You're talking about his letter of 18 19 the 25th? 20 MR. COLLINS: well, there's a statement that the Supreme Court, I think, issued pointing out that they 21 22 had requested you to do this. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 23 I have seen it. 24 MR. COLLINS: And they say at the end of it: 25 "This non-... " 26 27 28 Having recited the three questions: 29

"This non-statutory approach has been necessitated
 because of the fact that relevant sections of the
 Judicial Council Act 2019 have not yet been commenced."

4

23

27

5 So in a sense you are being asked to fill a gap that 6 this would normally be dealt with by the Judicial 7 Council if the Act was up and running; it's not so 8 you're being asked on sort of an ad hoc basis perhaps to step into that gap. But I think the significance of 9 that is that we can look at the Act to see what is the 10 11 type of standard which, for example, a judicial council 12 would look at were it being asked to look into this matter? And there's only one small part of it I just 13 14 want to draw attention to. I don't know if you have in the books the Judicial Council Act of 2019? 15 16 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I have it at home so you can just 17 refer me to the sections. 18 MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry, we should probably have put it in the book. I can get you a copy of it. But there's 19 20 only two relevant parts I want to draw attention to. Firstly, there's a definition of judicial misconduct in 21 22 Section 2 of the Act and it describes as:

24 "... means conduct (whether an act or omission) by a
25 judge, whether in the execution of he is or her office
26 or otherwise..."

So it can extend obviously to things outside actuallybeing in court.

1 2 "... and whether generally or on a particular occasion that..." 3 4 5 And then there are two requirements an (a) and a (b) 6 and there's an "and" between them so they both have to 7 be satisfied. 8 9 "(a) constitutes a departure from acknowledged standards of judicial conduct..." 10 11 12 I put emphasise on the word "acknowledged standards of 13 judicial conduct". There must be some standards out 14 that there are reasonably well known, reasonably well understood, reasonably clear, acknowledged standards 15 16 and there has to be a departure from those standards: 17 18 "... such standards to have regard to the principles of 19 judicial conduct referred to in Sections 7(1)(b) and 20 43(2)..." 21 22 I will just refer then briefly to those in a moment and 23 then the "and": 24 "(b) brings the administration of justice into 25 di srepute." 26 27 So it's not judicial misconduct even if you do depart 28 from a standard, even an acknowledged standard. 29 Ιt

also has to be a form of conduct that brings the 1 2 administration of justice into disrepute. And those 3 are two cumulative mandatory requirements of what 4 judicial misconduct means. 5 6 And then there are principles of judicial conduct 7 referred to in 7(1)(b) and 43(2). They're the same so 8 we only need to refer to 7(1)(b) over the page - just 9 two pages on - and this is the last thing I'm going to refer to in this Act. It says: 10 11 12 "The functions of the Council shall to be promote and 13 maintain..." 14 15 And then (b): 16 17 "High standards of conduct among judges, having regard 18 to the principles of judicial conduct requiring judges 19 to uphold and exemplify ... " 20 21 And then there's a series of criteria: 22 23 "... judicial independence, impartiality, integrity, 24 propriety (including the appearance of propriety), 25 competence and diligence and to ensure quality of treatment to all persons before the courts." 26 27 And I think the only one of those that conceivably has 28 any relevance to the present case at all is propriety 29

1 and the appearance of propriety, because I mean one of 2 the difficulties I suppose of this process is there isn't actually a case against Judge Woulfe brought by 3 anybody that he has to answer. There is no kind of 4 5 list saying 'here's what you did wrong' and it's a very dangerous thing to take one's guidelines as to what's 6 7 wrong just from media sources and general social media 8 chatter and so forth. But I suppose in a broad sense, certainly the concern that seems to have been that, if 9 the event in question breached the Covid Guidelines, 10 11 that in some way Judge Woulfe knew it breached the 12 quidelines and was somehow disregarding that fact if 13 that be a fact - we say it isn't a fact. That seems to 14 be one concern. And that somehow if he thought himself above the law or didn't have to comply with regulations 15 16 that that might be impropriety or the appearance of impropriety. As I say, it's a bit tricky for me to try 17 18 to, if you like, define the case against him - if I can 19 call it that - when it's never been defined. But that 20 seems to be, insofar as if one takes one's cue from public media comments, that seems to the Covid concern. 21

Then there's a second concern expressed by some people, I suppose, to the effect that is it appropriate for a judge to attend a social event which has some connection with the Oireachtas? This one is styled an Oireachtas golf event. And that's what I might very loosely call the separation of powers point. Even though, as we know, there is of course no through

22

operation of powers point at all here because nobody was exercising any of the functions of any of the three arms of Government so we really are down to a question of appearance of propriety and whether there was anything lacking in the appearance of propriety of a Supreme Court judge attending a social golf event such as this.

9 So, they seem to me to be the relevant standards, the 10 statutory standards by which the questions you've been 11 asked and the question of should Judge Woulfe have 12 attended or left the hotel, or whatever, it's should by 13 reference, I think, to that standard of judicial 14 misconduct as so understood with the two ingredients 15 that I've mentioned in relation to it.

8

16

17 The problem of course with things like propriety and 18 the varied ways in which people conduct themselves is 19 that these are very nebulous concepts and it's difficult to get a handle on them. If only to show the 20 nebulous nature of it, and not perhaps because it's of 21 22 huge assistance, I was just going to draw your attention to, I think, two things in the books of 23 24 background materials that we've given you. And I 25 should say, Judge, we've given you those background materials not I think because they're hugely of 26 27 assistance in answering the three questions you've been 28 asked but, rather, you have been asked about guidelines for the future and so forth and we thought it helpful 29

to perhaps put together a pack of information and it's
 primarily in that context and an attempt to be helpful
 in relation to it.

4 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Thank you very much.

5 MR. COLLINS: And of course I should have said at the 6 outset that Judge Woulfe is of course fully 7 cooperating, he wants to be fully cooperate and be 8 helpful and sees this as a process in which he can put 9 forward the true facts of what actually occurred which 10 I think when properly understood dispel any concerns at 11 all.

12 MR. MURPHY: I wonder would it be helpful, Mr. Collins, 13 if you were just to give a précis, even from the index, 14 of the materials you've just referred to, just for the 15 record?

16 MR. COLLINS: Yes, certainly. The first section are eight extracts from Guides to Judicial Conduct Or Codes 17 18 of Conduct for, say, United States Judges, published by 19 various bodies throughout the world such as the Canadian Judicial Council, the Australian Institute of 20 Judicial Administration, Incorporated Code of Conduct 21 22 published by the State of Illinois Judicial Inquiry 23 Board, and so forth. And then secondly there are six 24 articles which are academic articles drawn from law 25 reviews, I think almost exclusively, and one academic 26 course provided by a body called Judicial Education 27 Centre, it seems to be a self-learning course for judges about ethical issues. 28

29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Is that the one in America?

MR. COLLINS: Yes, yes. There's the Annual Report of the Judicial Conduct Investigations Office of the United Kingdom. And there are two judicial authorities, there's <u>Shatter -v- Guerin</u> and <u>O'Laoire -v- The Medical Council</u> that goes to the standard of review in professional misconduct cases.

7

20

26

8 The only one I want to refer to at the moment is at tab 1 there's the Guide to Judicial Conduct published by 9 the Courts and Tribunals Judiciary Office of the United 10 11 Kingdom in 2020. The "Contents" is on page 2 and 12 you'll see that part 3 of it contains "Guidance on 13 Specific Issues" and then it has "Activities Outside 14 the Court" and it deals with a whole range of issues outside the Court, "Political Activities, Public Debate 15 16 and Media" and so. But then you see "Social Activities" on page 17. If I bring you to "Social 17 18 Activities" and as I say it's not very informative, I'm 19 afraid, it says on page 17:

"Social activities need to be assessed in light of the
judicial officer holder's duty to maintain the dignity
of the office and not to permit associations with may
affect adversely the office holder's ability to
discharge his or her duties."

It goes on primarily to discuss the problems of social
networking, blogger and Twitter that don't arise in
this case. But it is perhaps of some relevance when

you go through all of these documents and all the 1 2 examples that are given of judges' behaviour out of 3 court, none of them relate to the type of informal social event that Judge Woulfe attended. They all 4 5 relate to things like judges contributing to funding; attending political meetings, party political meetings; 6 7 expressing views on legal or political issues other 8 than just in a purely academic sense and so forth; and 9 social networking, blogging and Twitter obviously for judges who engage in that publicly. 10

At tab 2 of the book there is the United States Code of Judicial Conduct which is expressed in the form of various canons. These are for federal courts and of course they, of course, themselves have their own codes. Again, the canons are at a very high level. You'll see Canon 2 on page 27 says:

18

19

20

21

29

11

"A judge shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all activities."

Echoing the statutory language in our jurisdiction. But unfortunately when you read through it - I'm not going to read it now - over the next couple of pages you'll see that all of the examples that they give are not referable really to the type of situation that Judge Woulfe was involved in and I think that is of some significance.

1 Canon 4, on page 37, is perhaps the closest one gets to 2 something of relevance. 3 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Sorry, page? Page 37. And it's a commentary on 4 MR. COLLINS: 5 Canon 4. And as I say Canon 4 itself, it's on page 34. 6 It says: 7 8 "A judge may engage in extra judicial activities that 9 are consistent with the obligations of judicial office." 10 11 And the commentary on that, after going through a 12 13 variety of activities that a judge can engage in or 14 cannot engage in, on page 37 it says: 15 16 "Complete separation of a judge from extrajudicial 17 activities is neither possible nor wise; a judge shall 18 not become isolated from the society in which the judge 19 lives. As a judicial officer and a person specially 20 learned in the law, a judge is in a unique position to contribute to the law, the legal system, and the 21 22 administration of justice, including revising 23 substantive and procedural law and improving criminal 24 and juvenile justice." 25 And they say that judges are encouraged to do this 26 27 through bar associations, conferences, organisations dedicated to the law and so forth. And the point that 28 29 a judge is not, as it's put in one of the other guides,

1 a hermit, not expected to live other than in the real 2 world I think is relevant here. A judge when he's on 3 holidays is certainly entitled to go and play golf. 4 And the question then is whether there's anything in 5 the fact of doing so that in some way creates some 6 appearance of an impropriety in the sense that the 7 judge wouldn't be impartial in the discharge of his 8 judicial functions because that's essentially what it 9 comes down to.

10

11 The other academic article which is not in the book but 12 we've handed in a separate book to you, is this book 13 that was published a year or two ago, Judicial Power in Ireland and it's a useful source and certainly has a 14 15 varied variety of articles like people like Mr. Justice 16 Fennelly, what is now Mr. Justice Brian Murray, Chief Justice Clarke, Gerry Whyte, 17 18 Mr. Justice Barniville, Mr. Justice O'Donnell and as it 19 happens myself, on the Judicial Council issue. 20 Brian Murray (as he was), his article is about removal of judges and I think it's helpful in some respects, 21 22 albeit that of course he's talking about the type of 23 conduct that would justify a removal of a judge 24 through, say, the constitutional process, which of 25 course we say isn't even remotely engaged here. what's at issue here is conduct that is so far removed from 26 27 that that one has to perhaps be cautious of looking at some of the way in which these matters are expressed. 28 29 I'm not going to spend any time on this, I just want to

1 perhaps draw your attention to some of the pages that I 2 think there are useful bits and in particular, I 3 suppose, if you look at page 70 of the book there's a 4 heading there: 5 6 "Justiciability standard of review and fair 7 procedures. " 8 9 And he says in the second paragraph that: 10 11 "It should equally follow that parliament would be held 12 to a judicially ordained definition of misbehaviour." 13 14 Again he's making the point that there has to be clarity and precision in relation to what constitutes 15 16 misbehaviour - or stated misbehaviour to use the constitutional expression - before a judge could be 17 18 removed. And if I bring you on to page 75 under the heading "Misbehaviour" and he talks about what he 19 20 describes as "the difficult question of what precisely constitutes misbehaviour". And on pages 76 and 77 he 21 22 posits three broad approaches, the first one is that it 23 could either be a matter for whatever Parliament says 24 is misbehaviour, or it should be an objective standard 25 of misbehaviour capable of review by a court. And he says it's difficult to see, following the Curtin 26 27 decision how it could be anything other than an objective standard of misbehaviour capable of review by 28 29 a court. And he says in the middle of the page:

"It seems offensive to the most basic of principles that judges could be removed for conduct deemed in a subjective and non-reviewable way to be within the terms of the article."

1

2

3

4

5

18

29

Then the second view and the narrowest view is that the 6 7 judge could only be removed for some form of criminal 8 offence and again he doesn't go with that. And then 9 thirdly, he deals here with the attempted removal of the removal of a judge of the High Court of Australia, 10 11 Lionel Murphy, in 1984 and he says it's likely that the 12 Irish courts would adopt the standards that were 13 adopted in that case. And he discusses that case and 14 some of the formulations again over the page on pages 15 78 and 79. The particular allegation against 16 Lionel Murphy was that he had interfered with the 17 course of justice - this is the middle of page 78:

19 "... by asking the Chief Stipendiary Magistrate of New 20 South Wales to put pressure on a magistrate conducting 21 a committal hearing against an associate of the judge. 22 One of the commissions is a defined misbehaviour for 23 these purposes arising whether the conduct of the judge 24 undermine the standing of the Court or the authority of 25 the judge; another where the conduct is morally wrong; and a third where public confidence in the judge 26 27 continuing his or her duty under the Constitution is destroyed. " 28

1 Then he talks about the All-Party Oireachtas Committee 2 on the Constitution and that they approved a 3 formulation by Professor (inaudible) who is a leading 4 academic in this whole area and you probably know him 5 from your brief work in this area. He mentions five 6 possible instances at the top of page 79 and he thinks 7 that might be, he says:

9 "The definition and examples both overstated the proper10 test and fail to meaningful elaborate upon it."

12And final he says it's likely that ultimately the Court13would adopt some of the standards that are referred to14in the cases he quotes, Therion -v- Canadian Minister15for Justice.

"Before making a recommendation that a judge should be 17 18 removed, the question to be asked is whether the 19 conduct for which he is blamed is so manifestly and 20 totally contrary to the impartiality, integrity and 21 independence of the judiciary that the confidence of 22 individuals appearing before the judge or of the public 23 in its justice system would be undermined rendering the 24 judge incapable of performing his duties of his office." 25 26

We are clearly a million miles away from that.

8

11

16

28

29

And finally, at the bottom of 80 and the top of page 81

1 he says:

2

10

Given the international consensus, there must be a
strong likelihood that the Supreme Court would adopt a
test based on unfitness, referenced in turn to a
benchmark of gravity and incompatibility with the
integrity and independence of the judiciary and the
confidence of individuals appearing before the judge or
of the public in its justice system."

11 That, of course, is all in the context of conduct that 12 might justify the removal of a judge. As I say, we are 13 light-years away from those concepts and one can see 14 that simply by even casually perusing any of the 15 examples, even on possible grounds for removal that 16 have been gone through in other jurisdictions and none 17 of them remotely resemble the type of social 18 interaction that we are concerned with here or the --19 MR. MURPHY: Just on that point very quickly, just for 20 the sake of the record. I think page 81 that you 21 referred to in the book in the first paragraph I think 22 the writer, I understand Mr. Justice Murray says that:

"... incompatibility, impartiality, integrity and independence of the judiciary and the confidence of individuals appearing before the judge..."

28 29

23

24

25

26

27

But I think he goes on to say also:

"This will certainly reflect the analysis applied by
 the Court more recently to the test of objective bias
 and particularly the theory which deliver that
 consideration."

That's part of his analysis also.

5

6

22

7 MR. COLLINS: Absolutely and that ties in exactly with 8 the fact that it has to be an objective test. It's 9 somebody who is properly informed of the circumstances, unlike, might I say, much of the public comment that's 10 11 been made on this which has been very ill-informed as 12 to the circumstances, but somebody who is properly 13 informed of the circumstances who then objectively 14 considers the question as to whether the conduct in question has any appearance of impropriety. 15 And I 16 think that objective test is applicable whether we're talking about very minor matters which at worst are 17 something that might say, 'look, you need to be a bit 18 19 more careful about this in the future,' to conduct that justifies a removal. But on that spectrum, either way 20 it has to be looked at objectively. 21

The other, perhaps slightly useful article, out of the academic articles the only one I think I want to refer to is a useful overview, I'm not going to refer to it by Dr. Laura Cahillane in the Dublin University Law Journal, but the one I want to refer to is one from the Mel bourne University Law Review by Appleby and Le Mire, who have written extensively again in this area, an

1 article called: 2 3 "Judicial Conduct: Crafting a system that enhances 4 institutional integrity." 5 And that's at tab 11 in book 2 of those two books of 6 7 background materials that we've handed in. And they go 8 through, at great length, the whole variety of 9 categories of judicial conduct that can attract criticism ranging from the mildest to the most severe. 10 11 Professional misconduct, for example, they look at on 12 page, it's the internal page 19 or if you're looking at 13 the page numbers at the bottom right-hand corner of the 14 boom it's 411. And they're really talking about there professional misconduct on the Bench. They give an 15 16 example of: 17 "Federal Magistrate Jennifer Rimmer, who was discovered 18 19 to have lifted 2,000 words from a Victorian judgment. 20 It was later found that similar conduct had occurred in 21 a number of her other judgments." 22 23 She subsequently claimed her conduct was caused by 24 illness and so forth. 25 They go through abuse of judicial power, criminal 26 27 conduct and so forth. Again, the nearest we come to perhaps of anything remotely relevant to the present 28 29 circumstances is if you look at page 416, that's

1 internal page 94. And they say:

2

14

25

3 "Whether reprehensible behaviour would be the bias for 4 any form of discipline is a question not easily 5 answered. There is a danger that if disciplinary 6 consequences attach to this type of behaviour, a 7 chilling effect would be created within the judiciary. 8 Judges may become reluctant to engage fully as members 9 of the community - an effect that has its own dangers. On the other hand, some behaviour may be considered so 10 11 serious that it casts doubt on the judge's ability to 12 fulfil the public role of a judge or impacts on the 13 reputation of the Court as an institution."

15 And then they give various examples of a judge who had 16 frequented massage parlours employing sex workers; a judge in the Wayne County Circuit Court of Michigan who 17 18 texted a shirtless photo of himself to a female bailiff 19 and the photo found its way into the press. 20 Judge McCree boasted to the reporter saying "no shame 21 in my game". He was reprimanded by the Michigan 22 Supreme Court for conducting himself in a flippant 23 manner and for not giving the interview the seriousness 24 it should have.

And they go on to discuss how judges shouldn't make political statements or get embroiled in an act of political controversy by expressing views on it, for the very reason that those controversial matters may

1 come before the Court and the judge shouldn't seen to 2 have expressed some prejudgment, or something like 3 that, on some issue that may come before him: 4 5 And they say on page 27: 6 7 "Extrajudicial political commentary will be more 8 controversial when it occurs during the course of a 9 trial before the judge." 10 11 And they give an example of that. I suppose the common 12 theme of all of these examples in all of these 13 authorities - and that's all I want to refer to in that 14 - is that the conduct to warrant any type of criticism at all, even of the mildest sort, is generally linked 15 16 to some case that the judge is hearing, or is about to hear, or is likely to hear, because there's a danger of 17 18 some perception being created that the persons in 19 question are not going to get a fair hearing and it's 20 always all about that. It's always all about something that undermines the reasonable perception of the 21 22 objective observer that actually there isn't going to 23 be a fair hearing or a fair trial on something. In circumstances where there's no connection with any 24 25 hearing then the gravity of that or the seriousness of 26 that clearly falls away. And then the further the 27 connection between a potential trial and whatever the judge was doing in his personal life or social life, 28 29 then the weaker the ground for any form of criticism

1 becomes. This is perhaps one of the most remarkable 2 cases because the judge, although appointed a judge of a Supreme Court had never of course sat as a judge of 3 4 the Supreme Court, had no cases assigned to him, hadn't 5 even been given a salary and drawn a cheque in relation to his functions. So it's hard to conceive of a case 6 7 more removed from any potential impact on some hearing 8 or not discharging judicial functions correctly and 9 impartially.

11So they're the broad themes, I suppose, that I just12wanted to outline by way of background and standards.

10

13

14 I want to now turn more specifically to what I'll call the Covid issue. And I do understand that your 15 16 function is simply to, in a sense, receive the 17 materials and the statements and express a view on the 18 basis of those, without making formal findings of fact 19 and so forth. But nonetheless to do that you have to 20 form a view as to what occurred, and in particular, as to what Judge Woulfe knew in relation to these matters 21 22 and the steps he took and what he did and didn't do. And I see the Covid issue, I think, therefore, 23 24 primarily in terms of while in one sense saying was the event in fact conducted in accordance with the Covid 25 Guidelines? And I say that it was and I think we can 26 27 see relatively plainly that it was. But more importantly, perhaps, the real question is: Did 28 29 Judge Woulfe have any legitimate reason to think that

it wasn't conducted in accordance with the Guidelines 1 2 and the Regulations? Because if he didn't no criticism can be attached to him for attending the event from the 3 viewpoint of Covid compliance. And it's particularly 4 5 so in the context where, as I say, I'm reluctant to take the "case against him" just from a miscellaneous 6 7 collection of media sources where the criticisms are expressed differently, sometimes wildly and in a most 8 9 egregious manner possible, sometimes in a more restrained and considered way, but in broad terms the 10 11 concern seems to be that if a judge who knew or ought to have known and had reason to think that an event was 12 13 not complying with Covid Guidelines, well then he 14 shouldn't have participated in the event. That's the essential criticism, if I can put it that way. 15 I think 16 you've seen his own statement and you will question him in relation to that. And I think it's crystal clear 17 18 that Judge Woulfe (a) did not know that the event was 19 not in compliance with the Covid Regulations - not 20 least because it appears that it was in compliance but in any event everything appeared to him to be 21 22 appropriate and in compliance and he received the appropriate assurances. And secondly, when we look at 23 24 the factual circumstances, and this is to a very large 25 extent a fact matter, there's simply nothing on the facts at any point in the day at which anything 26 27 occurred, to his knowledge, that would have enabled him or led him to change his mind in relation to that, or 28 29 to think there's something wrong here, this is

1 different to what I thought. And we see that when you 2 hear him, you've read his statement and I'll touch on one or two of those points. I'm not going to go 3 4 through the chronology because you have the statement 5 and you will be talking to him. 6 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I will go through that with him. 7 MR. COLLINS: Exactly. But there are just a couple of 8 general points I wanted to make.

9

First of all, the nature of the Oireachtas Golf Society 10 11 does have the title Oireachtas but as you'll see from some of the statements it's not funded by the 12 13 Oireachtas in any way. My understanding is that 14 actually it originates from I think post the Arms Trial 15 and a social event set up to try to get across, 16 deliberately stand away from party politics and have 17 something that is entirely non-political, largely made 18 up of friends and families and so on of the Oireachtas. And as you can see, and you'll hear Judge Woulfe 19 explain it to you, in terms of the number of active 20 politicians who were there, a very small number. 21 It 22 was largely either retired politicians or friends and 23 family. I hope I don't do it any injustice if I say 24 it's sort of the Oireachtas equivalent of the 25 old-fashioned factory works outing for the day for the family and friends and so forth. And Judge Woulfe will 26 27 explain to you how he was invited when he was Attorney General. 28

29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: He'll go through that.

1 MR. COLLINS: He'll go through that. That's fine. 2 What I would like to do is look to first of all briefly 3 at the statutory instruments. 4 5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You said 15 minutes. 6 MR. COLLINS: Yes. 7 And I am time conscious. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I don't 8 want to run into at that lot of time in the afternoon. So if you could keep it tight, otherwise we're going to 9 run into some considerations. 10 11 MR. MURPHY: I would suggest, if it would be of 12 assistance to Judge Denham and to Judge Woulfe also, 13 there will be no objection to it. a written submission 14 after this meeting, if that would assist. 15 MR. COLLINS: Absolutely. I actually have, not a 16 written submission, I forgot to bring it with me, I 17 have a very short two or three page aide-memoire simply 18 on the statutory instruments, just reciting them, the 19 dates they came into force, and so forth. That would be very helpful. 20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I was certain I had that with me but I 21 MR. COLLINS: 22 think I have left that behind. We'll get it and give 23 it to you. 24 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You can send that into us. MR. COLLINS: There's a little bit book called 25 26 legislation and guidelines and I'm only going to take a 27 minute or two on this. What I want to look at -- if you look at, the first one is the Health Act of 1947. 28 29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Maybe this is best in your

1 aide-memoire. 2 MR. COLLINS: Actually this point is not in the 3 aide-memoire it's just the definition of event and it's 4 on page --5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 77, Mr. Collins, of our internal 6 pagination, page 60 of the legislation. 7 MR. COLLINS: Thanks. 8 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 60 in the middle on the bottom of the page, 77 on the far right of the page. 9 77. Yes, thank you. 10 MR. COLLINS: 11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I have it. Thanks a million. 12 MR. COLLINS: Yes. At bottom of the page you'll see it 13 says: 14 15 "'Event' means a gathering of persons whether for cultural entertainment, recreation, sporting..." and 16 17 so on. 18 19 That's all I want to draw attention to in that. The 20 word "a gathering of persons" is what an event is. 21 22 And then what you see is that there were Covid 23 Regulations brought in from time to time for defined 24 periods. The first one on tab 2 you see on page 82 of 25 the book covers the period from 8th to 12th April 2020. 26 The next one, 206/2020 on page 100, you'll see it 27 covers 8th June to 29th June and then the important one is the one at tab 4 SI 234/2020 and you'll see that it 28 29 says:

"These regulations [regulation 1 and 2] come into operation on 29th June 2020."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

19

And although it says they were to remain in operation until 20th July 2020 they were extended by the two subsequent SIs that you see in the book up to 31st August.

9 So at the time of the event the relevant regulations were these regulations here and you'll see that the 10 11 restriction on events - and you'll see now why I refer to the definition of events as being gatherings and 12 13 that's relevant when we come to look at the guidelines 14 in just a second - the restrictions on events is for 15 indoor events that it doesn't exceed 50 persons. And 16 that's all I want to look at in the book. So the 50-person rule, if I can call it that, therefore, was 17 18 the rule that was applicable at the time in question.

20 Now, the system - and Judge Woulfe can elaborate on this from his own knowledge in relation to it - but it 21 22 appears that when the Government decide that they'll 23 adopt a particular regulation or indeed a decision as 24 we now know happened on 18th August as to what they do, 25 they agree guidelines with the relevant sector, 26 whatever it happens to be. In the hotel area it's the 27 Irish Hotels Federation. And the issued guidelines which usually come into effect therefore a little bit 28 29 later than either a Cabinet decision, or something of

1 that sort, which are updated on a rolling basis. We 2 didn't have -- in that book of legislation and quidelines the guidelines at the back of the book are 3 4 the later guidelines post 31st August when they 5 implemented the decision about reducing the numbers to But we've only now managed to get - and it just 6 six. 7 shows you the difficulty sometimes of people trying to 8 understand what's happening because it is difficult to get them - we have now the guidelines that were 9 operative at the time and they're the ones I've handed 10 to you there, Judge, the coloured one. 11 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Let me just find them now. 13 MR. COLLINS: It's this one here. 14 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 15 MR. COLLINS: As Mr. Murphy pointed out earlier, you 16 can always tell from the revision history, which is on page 2 of this booklet, as to which version you were 17 18 dealing with. This is version 2.2 and it came in on 19 6th July 2020 and it had updates to Appendix 1. And 20 there's just three different pages of this I want to draw attention to because this has to be read in 21 22 conjunction with the statutory instrument that I drew 23 attention to about the 50 people at an event. 24 First of all, not quite in the order of the document, because I don't think this document has been written by 25 lawyers so it's written as a practical guideline. Can 26 27 I bring you on to page 25 of that book, section 18? The page numbers are in the bottom left of the booklet, 28 and in the middle of the page it's "Meetings and 29

1 Events", section 18. And if you see on the left-hand 2 column, two-thirds of the way down the page there's a 3 heading "Physical Distancing Protocol". 4 5 The first bullet point is: 6 7 "There is a limit on the number of people gathering in 8 a venue at one time." 9 And that's important because that ties in with the 10 11 wording of the definition of "event" as being a 12 gathering of people. So these guidelines are very much 13 talking about the gatherings. 14 "In line with NPHET Guidelines on indoor gatherings." 15 16 17 And we know that the gatherings are limited to 50 18 people. 19 20 "Multiple guidelines are allowed in venue facilities 21 provided they are in separate defined spaces and there 22 are systems to prevent intermingling in common spaces 23 e.g. entrances, exit and toilet facilities." 24 25 So it's not a question that you can only have 50 people in the hotel attending events or gatherings. You can 26 27 have more than -- you can have multiple events, you can have two events or gatherings of 50 people, provided 28 29 that they are in separate defined spaces. And I mean

whether they are, let us say, from two separate golf 1 2 societies or one golf society is neither here nor The Covid virus isn't concerned to know who the 3 there. people are. It's all a question of physical distancing 4 5 and health guidelines. So having two separate rooms -6 and you will have seen from the evidence that they are 7 two separate rooms - so even named, the Kylemore Suite 8 the Omey Suite, different arrowed directions and in the photographs you can see pointing in opposite directions 9 where they were, divided by a clear wall, as you'll 10 11 hear when you discuss it with Judge Woulfe. But 12 insofar as these are concerned, the multiple gatherings 13 were in fact allowed and it wasn't a breach. And just 14 to reiterate that, can I bring you to section 5 - going 15 back now in the document at page 10 - which is a 16 section called "physical distancing". And this, on the 17 bottom left-hand side corner you'll see a heading:

18 19

20

25

"Hotel restaurants and bars."

And that's talking about what they call "controlled environments" which is basically things like hotels and internal environments where somebody has control over people coming and going. It says:

26 "Physical distancing of two metres should be maintained
27 between tables. However, if this is not possible this
28 can be reduced to one metre in controlled environments
29 if the other risk mitigation requirements outlined in

1 Appendix 1 have been met."

2

6

11

21

27

And that's to do with having the hand sanitisers and all the various things we know about. And then they say:

7 "If all risk mitigation requirements have been met and
8 physical distancing is reduced to one metre, pre-booked
9 time slots must be in place for customers with a
10 maximum of 105 minutes."

12 That 105 minutes only applies if you put your table one 13 metre --

14MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I think we don't need to go into15that detail now at the moment.

16 MR. COLLINS: Okay, fine. I suppose the fundamental 17 point I'm getting at is, if the tables were two metres 18 approximately apart, (a) there was no time limit and 19 secondly it was perfectly in accordance with the 20 Regulations.

You can see that finally, Judge, and I won't weary with
you with the detail of it, there was that reference to
Appendix 1 and you'll see that spelled out in
Appendix 1 on page 30, in particular on the right-hand
column in some of those bullet points.

28 So that's all I want to say in relation to the 29 Regulations, other than to point out that the

Regulations are of course directed to the organisers. 1 2 This is designed for their assistance. It's not that 3 people who turn up in hotels ask for a copy of the Regulations, decide they'll conduct an audit of the 4 5 hotel and see are they complying with it. Or people who go to Ikea to buy their furniture ask the Ikea 6 7 people, 'Could I please see what you've done?' These 8 are directed to the organisers.

9 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: In the Guidelines, you said 10 Regulations.

11 MR. COLLINS: I meant quidelines. I'm sorry. 12 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: It's an important point. 13 MR. COLLINS: Absolutely. And they are the Guidelines 14 that were in force at the time. And in essence, as 15 you'll hear, Judge Woulfe made inquiries once he knew 16 there was a dinner and was given the reassurances --17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: we're going to do all that. 18 MR. COLLINS: You're going to do that with him, fine.

19

20 So, if having heard from Judge Woulfe, Judge, you are satisfied that in fact it appeared to him as if the 21 22 relevant regulations and guidelines were being complied 23 with, if he was appropriately reassured that that was 24 so, and if there was nothing that should have made him jump up and say, 'Gosh, this isn't right, actually 25 26 they're not complying with these guidelines,' if there 27 was nothing that would have prompted him to think that, then there is simply no criticism warranted of him in 28 29 relation to somehow wilfully or wantonly or

disregarding in any way the necessity to comply with 1 2 the Covid Guidelines. So that objective observer that 3 we were talking about a moment ago, applying that test 4 under the Act, would never conclude that Judge Woulfe 5 ___ 6 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: That's the test, yes. 7 Straightforward. 8 MR. COLLINS: That's the test. Would never conclude that he had engaged in either impropriety or the 9 appearance of impropriety. 10 11 12 The other aspect of the thing is the so-called --13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: We are running into time problems 14 now. 15 MR. COLLINS: I know and I'm finishing on this point, 16 if that's all right. 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Okav. 18 MR. COLLINS: Is the separation of powers issue. Ι 19 suppose the question here is was there any impropriety 20 in terms of attending an event which was styled an Oireachtas Golf Society event and at which there were 21 22 at least some politicians, albeit a minority. And 23 there's no rule that judges can't engage with 24 politicians or meet or socialise with politicians. 25 It's a sort of a spectrum. At one end there are events 26 in which it is clearly right and proper to do so and 27 let me give you an example of which we are familiar. The Chairman of the Bar Council does an annual dinner 28 29 every year, which he or she invites the Judiciary,

1 senior government ministers, non-governmental 2 organisations and so forth, who sit and have dinner for 3 the purpose of exploring the issues of mutual concern 4 and just socialising so that they each see the other 5 doesn't have horns perhaps. Frequently, politicians and ministers are invited for dinner in the King's 6 7 There's no question but that that is all Inns. 8 entirely proper and that's at one end of the spectrum. 9 At the other end of the spectrum you might take an example of a judge who perhaps every Friday night goes 10 11 up to the bar in Dáil Éireann and socialises regularly 12 with the politicians and you would say well, that has 13 the appearances of impropriety about it for obvious 14 reasons. And there can be many forms of conduct than 15 which fall on the spectrum between what is clearly 16 acceptable and what clearly wouldn't be acceptable and in my submission, Judge, any objective observer, any 17 18 fair view of the matter would say that this attendance 19 at this event falls well within the totally acceptable 20 range of conduct and socialisation that a judge can engage in. That is particularly so having regard to 21 22 the nature of the organisation. None of the indicia you find in the authorities and the academic 23 24 authorities such as engaging in fundraising, party political activity support - none of those matters 25 26 apply in relation to this. Some of the cases or the 27 academic articles discuss the regularity of the They're doing it day in day out. I gave the 28 conduct. 29 example of every Friday night the judge going. This

was an annual event, the first time he attended it. So
 it's a once-off in his capacity as a judge.

And finally and the last point, Judge, there is, I 4 5 think, a key issue here about the importance of judicial independence. 6 It seems ludicrous, if I may 7 respectfully say so, that there have been calls that 8 Judge Woulfe should have resigned because of his attendance of this and a frenzied storm, and something 9 of a firestorm, I suppose, was whipped up in social 10 media and elsewhere as if this was obvious and this was 11 12 terrible and this had to happen. And judges cannot be 13 hounded out of office by ill-informed comments which 14 create a firestorm. They have to, however fiery that 15 firestorm, they have to stand up to it and say, 16 'judicial independence requires that we're not going to be hounded out of office for no good reason,' because 17 18 if that is case then where do we stop? A judge gives a 19 judgment that is deeply unpopular and there is a 20 firestorm saying he or she should resign. As happened to one judge in Canada, for example, who gave a 21 22 judgment I think holding that a law prohibiting 23 possession of child pornography was unconstitutional and there were demands all over the place that that 24 25 judge should resign.

26

3

27 So it's actually quite a potentially very dangerous 28 thing. So I say that the three questions that you have 29 to ask, Judge, perhaps awkwardly worded. I mean the

first one is whether he should have attended the 1 2 invitation to dinner. I think you can read that as 3 attended the event as a whole, and should he have 4 accepted the invitation to start with to turn up? 5 Because the third question draws a distinction between the golf event and the dinner as if it's kind of okav 6 7 to go to the golf event, but did you have any concern 8 about the dinner? It seems to draw that distinction. 9 And then the third one or the middle one, one and three I think are related, the middle one is should he have 10 11 left the hotel in light of the conditions prevailing? Which I presume is a reference to the Covid situation 12 13 and for the reasons he'll outline to you there was 14 nothing that would have impaired him to leave. 15 I've gone on far too long, Judge, I'm sorry. 16 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Thank you very much. Judge, I'm 18 going to ask you a number of basic introductory 19 questions just for the record and then I'm going to go 20 through your statement. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That's fine. Before you do, can I 21 22 apologise to for interrupting you when you were making 23 your opening? It's just because you had moved on from 24 the letter to the procedure I just wanted to get a 25 careful -- it's a bit early for me to become a grumpy

26 judge. So I apologise, I didn't mean to be rude in any
27 way.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Don't worry, I didn't take it that
way. I was speaking too quickly.

1 Judge, when were you called to the Bar? 2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 1987 with Mr. Murphy. 3 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And when did you take Silk? MR. JUSTICE WOULEF: March 2005. 4 5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And when were you appointed 6 Attorney? 7 14th June 2017. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And that, of course, the type of work involved there is advising the Government, 9 10 advising the Department and having a lot of contact 11 with politicians? 12 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Absolutely. And judges sometimes. 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: when did you conclude your 14 Attorney post? 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The day the new Government was 16 formed which was I think the last Saturday --17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I think it's 22/06. 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The last Saturday in June and I 19 only discovered on the morning that I wasn't remaining on as Attorney General - a phone call from the outgoing 20 21 Taoiseach on the Saturday morning. 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So you hadn't applied to Right. the Appointments Board? 23 24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I had. After the general 25 election, as a precaution, not knowing whether I was going back to the Bar, staying on as Attorney General, 26 27 or possibly becoming a judge, I applied to JAB. Ι mentioned it to the Taoiseach at the time and I said 28 29 that I was putting in an application but it didn't mean

1 I wasn't happy to very happy to stay on as Attorney 2 General and my preference would be to stay on as 3 Attorney General and help the new Government. Excellent. And what date did vou 4 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 5 make your Declaration before the Supreme Court? 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I think my appointment. I will 7 just get my diary, excuse me one second. Just to be 8 absolutely accurate and precise. So end of July, vacation coming thank God, hectic couple of months. 9 Thursday is the 23rd so I was appointed in the Aras on 10 11 the 23rd, that's the date of my appointment, and I made 12 my Declaration on Friday, the 24th. 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So have you actually sat on the 14 Bench as a judge? 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, or taken part in any so-called 16 applications for leave determinations. It was envisaged there would be some of that work in 17 18 September. 19 20 The Supreme Court, unlike the High Court and the Court of Appeal, said that it didn't need to sit in September 21 22 to make up for any backlog because there was no 23 backlog. I got a list at some point, probably in 24 August, of possible assignments starting first or second week of October. 25 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Excellent. Well now let us 26 Yes. 27 look at your statement and let us work our way through it, rather than just have you read it. 28 29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes, of course. I may be able to

1 add or clarify things.

2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: In fact if you'd like to start 3 from the beginning.

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 4 Yes. So I'm explaining really how 5 I came about to play in the Oireachtas Golf Society. I don't know, Judge, are you a golfer, do you play golf? 6 7 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Unfortunately not. 8 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Do you understand -- have you been involved in a golf society or a golf classic event? 9

10MS. JUSTICE DENHAM:No, I haven't but I have attended11sports events.So I think they're rather similar12actually.

13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Okay. I don't know whether Murphy 14 is a golfer or not? No, okay. So can I just to you, 15 before even this golf society what I would have known 16 about -- I play golf occasionally in my club. I've been a member of a golf club since 1992 and I've never 17 18 played very much. My handicap has never managed to 19 come down from 26 or 27, which is the starting handicap, because I don't have much time to play golf. 20 But I would have been aware - as well as my own club 21 22 where I play occasionally - that the local pubs would 23 have a golf society. I don't want to name them because 24 they'll give out to me for promoting one or two of 25 But one or two of the two pubs where over the them. years I would have a social drink, I would be aware 26 27 that they have, you know, a notice up sometimes about, and I won't give a name, you know the Joe Bloggs golf 28 society. And it's not really a society that's terribly 29

1 closely linked with the pub, it's that a few people who 2 socialise there begin a kind of a gathering and they invite some friends or family to go out and play golf 3 once or twice a year. So I've never actually played in 4 5 one of those pub golf societies but I would have been 6 aware that that's roughly what a society is. 7 8 So that brings me on to the Oireachtas Golf Society then. 9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: It was during your term of 10 Yes. 11 office that you were invited to play in the Oireachtas 12 golf outings? 13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. By former 14 Leas-Chathaoirleach, Paul Coghlan, who I would have met 15 when we were dealing with the Bar Council who were 16 trying to deal with politicians about the Legal Service Regulation Bill. I think I met Paul for the first time 17 18 when we sent a delegation up to Leinster House to 19 discuss the Bill. And then when I was Attorney General 20 I met him again. And perhaps the fact that his daughter is a barrister, Mairéad Coghlan, that may have 21 22 been partly why we connected. 23 24 So, the first time I heard anything about the Oireachtas Golf Society -- sorry, the Oireachtas Golf 25 Society achieved a bit of notoriety years ago, around 26 27 2010/2011 when former Taoiseach, Brian Cowen, used to holiday in Ballyconneely, and so on. 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 29 I remember.

1 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And there was an issue about the 2 media hounding him and taking a photograph --MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 3 I remember. MR. JUSTICE WOULEE: -- of him in his caravan and all 4 5 that kind of stuff. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 6 Absolutely. 7 So I would have been vaguely aware MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 8 from that there was such a thing called the Oireachtas Golf Society. 9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: But the first time you were 10 11 invited was in 2018 by the former Leas-Chathaoirleach? 12 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. And, you know, when he 13 invited on that occasion, you know, I knew very little 14 about it but I wasn't able to go, whatever date, I had 15 some other commitment, I couldn't go. So that was 16 that. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 17 So then you went -- in 2019 you 18 were invited. 19 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I was invited in 2019, yeah, and again the two people who would have mentioned to me 20 were Paul Coghlan, who I've mentioned here, and former 21 22 senator, Lorraine Higgins, would have mentioned it to 23 me as well. Again, I had no written invitation to it 24 so I didn't know exactly what was involved. 25 You always know there'll be some socialising 26 27 afterwards. Probably some food, either by way of, as they call it, when you come in off the course or 28 29 everybody trying to meet later on. When everyone tries

to meet later on -- I've been involved in organising 1 2 one in my own club, a golf classic which is a 3 fundraising event, in past years. A lot of people 4 don't like eating together later on because if they 5 played at 11 o'clock they go at three o'clock. So the 6 numbers at these things can vary hugely, very unpredictable. That event was in Powerscourt it was 7 8 during the holidays. I, on that occasion, stayed on for, there was a group dinner and I stayed on for the 9 group dinner later on but I played late in the day so 10 11 it suited to stay on.

12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I'm not sure if you want to 14 clarify anything else about that event. I suppose that was my introduction to -- this is I think a little bit 15 16 The fact that the event was very highly important. 17 organised and this Senator Donie Cassidy who I think 18 you may know or have met yourself, Judge, he was very 19 much the dominant influence in the whole thing. So he 20 was there. When I went -- on that day in Powerscourt my post former Leas-Chathaoirleach, Paul Coghlan, 21 22 wasn't able to attend at the last minute so I was kind of suddenly without a host but I was told, 'listen, 23 Donie will look after you, just turn up everything will 24 25 be fine and he's arranged for somebody for you to play with.' And from the moment I walked into that place in 26 27 the lobby of the Powerscourt Golf Club there was a sense of very high organisation, extremely high 28 29 organisation. Higher than I would have seen at events

I've been involved in organising myself, as I say, a golf classic which I sometimes help to organise in St. Anne's Golf Club, where I'm a member of. So from moment one Donie was (a) extremely organised, but also extremely friendly. It was an extremely friendly social atmosphere.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 It's a little bit of digression but I'm appalled at the kind of media treatment of the society event and the 9 it's presented, in some way it's like a Ku Klux Klan 10 11 now, because on that day and both times there was a friendly social atmosphere. And I met a number of 12 13 people, mainly retire politicians. So the atmosphere 14 of this is more likely retired teachers' association or retired judges, not active politicians. And I met 15 16 former Taoiseach, Enda Kenny, that day. I played with, and this is interesting. Like I had no arrangement 17 18 that day as to who I was going to play, because 19 Paul Coghlan was the one I thought I'd be playing with, 20 plus one or two if we were going out in threes or It varies. So I was sent out with 21 fours. 22 Donie Cassidy's son - who has nothing to do with 23 politics, I don't think, I don't know is he a member of 24 any political party, but he runs a hotel called the Belvedere Hotel, across the name from my former school. 25 Mr. Murphy will know that I am a former Belvederean on 26 Great Denmark Street. And also with former minister, 27 28 Noel Dempsey, who I had never met. I'd never met either of them before. I met them going to the first 29

1 tee, or in the clubhouse before we went out.

2

9

Now, the sort of conversations I would have had out on the course would have been of a social kind of a nature. I doubt very much -- even though I was Attorney General at the time I doubt very much if we discussed any issue of current policy or controversy and that wasn't the vibe at the event.

It was interesting listening to Minister Dempsey saying 10 about his time in Government and that he'd interacted 11 with former Attorney Generals and that kind of stuff. 12 13 And I also had an interesting conversation with, I 14 think Donie Cassidy's son's name is Peter, about the hotel trade and the hotel sector and I told him that I 15 16 had been in the Belvedere Hotel a couple of times 17 across the road in recent years because I've got 18 involved again in the school because my son is 19 attending the school and I thought it was a very well-run and organised hotel, it used to be a little 20 bit rundown in the 1970s when I used to go. 21 Sorry. 22 Judge, I may be giving you too much information 23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: No, we're pottering or way through 24 it quite well. 25 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: But can I just say this: When it 26 came to the speeches and prize giving --MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 27 Well let's just --MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 28 In Powerscourt I mean now. 29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Oh in Powerscourt, that's okay,

yes.

1

2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: There's always a bit of palaver 3 and fuss at these things. This they took quite seriously - again in terms of the whole event being 4 5 quite organised and quite serious - and they introduced, you know, each other, who was giving --6 7 there was a few speeches, including by a man called 8 John Flaherty and he's an important figure in all of 9 this as well. John Flaherty is known as, I don't know if you're familiar with the term, Judge, the Captain of 10 11 the Guard. Now before I had became Attorney General I'd seen this picture on the television of a man in 12 13 uniform, escorting the new Taoiseach safely to his car 14 to go out to Áras an Uachtaráin after he is voted in as Taoiseach. He is the head of -- whatever his exact, 15 16 you know, I can't give you chapter and verse of his exact title. His title is Captain of the Guard but I 17 18 understand him to be the head of security and logistics 19 and operations and I understand more recently health 20 and safety in Leinster House. So he was introduced as either the secretary or the treasurer of this 21 22 organisation and almost a second in command to Donie Cassidy. Now, I also knew from my conversations 23 24 that day that Donie Cassidy is a very successful That's relevant to what came later. We 25 hotelier. 26 weren't dealing with the local pub golf society, we 27 were dealing with serious people. Donie Cassidy's a former leader of the Seanad, I think for about ten 28 29 years.

So my own impression of the event that day was that it was a very benign event, a benign society that was there to promote convivial relations between so many former politicians and friends and family and it was a purely social and recreational event.

I'll just add that I thought that Paul Coghlan was in some way involved in the organisation but because he hadn't attended he wasn't introduced during the speeches so I wasn't clear was he an officer or --MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: But it was during that it was mentioned then at Powerscourt that the 50th anniversary was going to be in 2020?

14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes.

6

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And a couple of people suggestedto you that you might attend that?

17 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: It was mentioned with great pride 18 that this was a tremendous achievement that the society 19 had managed to foster, you know, good social relations, 20 almost to transcend politics. I'm not saying they used those exact words, but that was the kind of atmosphere 21 22 and that, you know, they were encouraging people, that 23 even though it may be a long way away in Connemara they 24 would really love if people would come next year. 25 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So that's why you made a mental note that you would try and --26 27 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Again, not knowing would I be still Attorney General that October in a minority 28

29 government, not knowing where I'd be in August 2020.

1 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Exactly. So no that brings us to 2 the lead up to the August 2020 event? Yes. 3 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 4 And sometime during 2020, when you 5 were serving as Attorney, you got a verbal invitation from Paul Coghlan --6 7 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: -- to go to Ballyconneely and you said you'd get back to them. Then if you would like to 9 continue? 10 11 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: It's a bit kind of, it's funny because of Covid and everything it's just slightly 12 13 harder to place things when, you know, you stop meeting 14 people. Presumably we stopped meeting people around, 15 for a period at least around 12th March when the 16 Taoiseach first, you know, announced the lockdown. 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So I'm pretty sure it had to be 19 before 12th March then, it had to be in January or 20 February where I would, as Attorney General I would go over to breakfast once a week to the canteen in 21 22 Leinster House, (a) because I liked the big breakfast 23 and I'd only allow myself the treat of having it once a 24 week, or else I'd be in very bad shape, and (b) as an opportunity that I would, you know, socialise and 25 26 minale. So once a week roughly I'd go over and 27 occasionally when I went over I would meet Paul Coghlan and that's very likely where I met him and where I got 28 the verbal invitation. But again August seemed a long 29

1 way away back in January or February. My family go on 2 holidays as a family holiday in August, like most people I suppose, and particularly now that my kids are 3 4 in school. It was nice to go in September in the past 5 but that's gone now. So if we were going abroad we'd be going in August and I might not be around, mightn't 6 7 be able to go. If we weren't going abroad my family 8 have a holiday home in Donegal and which weeks we would go depend on my sister and my mother own the house, so 9 at that stage there was no idea. 10

11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You have to family work it out. 12 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. And at that stage I wouldn't 13 have really envisaged making all the effort to drive 14 from Donegal to Clifden, you know, if we were going to 15 be in Donegal that week, so it was really more in my 16 head well, if we're not in Donegal. If we go to Donegal the first two weeks and I knew vaguely it was 17 18 in was in the latter part of August but I didn't have 19 the dates.

20

So Deputy Grealish then I bumped in to. I made a habit 21 22 during Covid - because it was very dull in the Attorney General's office, there was nobody there hardly, except 23 24 myself - of bringing in lunch, eating at the desk and 25 going for a walk in either Merrion Square or Leinster House lawn. Leinster House lawn is not too big a 26 27 distance and did a few laps of that. I didn't meet very many people but one day I bumped into 28 29 Deputy Grealish, who again was a very keen supporter of

1 the society and advocate, despite recent events, and 2 recent media coverage, and he would have you know, sort 3 of how do I put it, raised it with me and said, 'do you think you would come?' And I would again have said, 4 5 'look...' It's important to note that golf was one of 6 the things that people were very disappointed about had 7 to stop at all because people felt golf was one of the 8 safest things. I remember myself thinking my handicap might come down, I'll be able to play a bit more golf. 9 And I remember playing twice before the lockdown, 10 11 immediately after 12th March whereas often I wouldn't 12 get started that early. So then golf was restored -13 one of the first things that came back. SO 14 Deputy Grealish, when I met him, it was probably after the golf had been reintroduced. 15 16 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Exactlv. 17 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And I don't think anybody at that 18 stage was thinking about dinner or eating in groups or 19 eating in fours or anything. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So anyway I think we've covered 20 21 your statement page 2. 22 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 23 Then you were appointed on the 24 23rd. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 25 And this reference to the Yes. 26 Bar Golf Society and this is important --MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 27 Yes. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: -- because I'm not sure if I've 28 29 ever played in a golf society event before the Bar golf

one. I've played in golf classics which are similar.
 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

3 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: But I'd only a hazy awareness of
4 golf societies.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

5

22

6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I'm open to correction now but I 7 suspect that the Bar Golf Society -- I played in two 8 Bar Golf things over the years only, even though I've been member of the golf club since 1992 I hadn't time. 9 So I played in one in the early years, the Christmas 10 11 one that the Bar Golf Society have and then I played 12 last year as Attorney General last September in 13 Donal O'Donnell's President's Prize. To the best of my 14 knowledge that's only twice I've ever played in the Bar 15 Golf Society. But now I was a new judge, I was told 16 one of the great ways judges and barristers can still 17 interact is through things like the golf and the 18 tennis. While it's called the Bar Golf Society it's 19 really the Bar and Bench golf society. I was invited by a barrister, a friend of mine, would I join that? 20 And I did. 21

A couple of things just that are relevant then to the Clifden event. I think when I saw Mairéad Coghlan, her car pulled into the car park in front of mine. I got a lift very kindly from Dermot Flanagan and as we pulled in Mairéad Coghlan got out of a car in front of us. She was playing in the group either before or after me so I kept seeing her around the course. And I think

that's what triggered the memory of the Bar Golf
 Society.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 3 Put it into your mind. 4 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And the other kind of important 5 point to mention is there was a mention here about dining or dinner and that we weren't going to eat in 6 7 the clubhouse altogether, as they normally do. So when we ate after the. I think Donal is the President rather 8 than the Captain, after the President's Prize back in 9 September 2019 in Miltown, we all ate together, the 10 11 whole group, probably over 50 people. So I suspect their difficulty here was that - and I'm only surmising 12 13 now. I don't know this as a definite fact - was that 14 perhaps there's more than 50 people, there's an open 15 restaurant bar area in Baltray and it wasn't possible 16 for everyone to eat together, so we ate in groups of 17 four comina in. It seemed to me that there wasn't, in 18 principle, a difficulty with eating after a golf 19 society event and it had been done that way in Baltray. 20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Now, you say:

22 "After I was appointed as a Supreme Court judge I was
23 not familiar with internal judicial protocols."

21

24

Now, I'll come back to the judicial protocols and
guidelines, let us just go through this essentially
from the Covid point of view at the moment.
MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: In any event, like, I didn't get
any of those. They produce a very helpful document now

called judicial something, judicial something handbook,
 very helpful but it's all full of stuff about
 bureaucracy, getting paid.

4 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Pensions.

5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: All that stuff, yes. And I've 6 rechecked it and to the best of my knowledge there 7 isn't any reference to any of these issues in it. 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: No, there isn't.

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So now I've played in the Bar Golf 9 Society, I've been reminded about the Oireachtas Golf 10 11 Society event and the thought's going through my head, 12 okay will I consider playing in that? Now I'm a judge 13 does that make any difference? I'm not Attorney 14 General anymore. I think from the outset that my instinct is it's appropriate, perfectly appropriate and 15 16 that it's like the Bar Council Chairman's dinner which I think you yourself, Judge, would have been at, 17 18 possibly sitting at the same table as a serving 19 minister, perhaps. I've a vague recollection maybe of Frances Fitzgerald at the time and yourself at the same 20 table. 21

22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I think there was more than one. 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. And being Attorney General I 24 knew something about the fact that there has to be 25 interaction. there will be social interaction. And I 26 saw this as entirely -- instinctively, I didn't think 27 about it very long, but I saw it as being in that line of -- or to give you another example, Judge. 28 When I 29 was Attorney General and I was being appointed a

Bencher and the date was fixed for a date in note and 1 2 the Taoiseach wasn't available, he was going to be away and I had raised the possibility of bringing some 3 senior politicians to the dinner in the King's Inns and 4 5 the Benchers asked me would I put back the dinner so as to ensure the Taoiseach, and as many ministers as 6 7 possible, would come. And a flood of ministers came. 8 Now, I can't see the difference between the Inns hosting a social event and politicians going there and 9 politicians hosting a social event and judges going 10 11 there. Depending on a list of special circumstances 12 and special facts. If there was a case going on at the 13 time about the Oireachtas and you were sitting in the 14 case or something like that. But in principle I saw it 15 at that level. But I decided, maybe I'd heard 16 something about in codes there's a think about check 17 with the President of the Court or something. I 18 decided the safest thing, the precaution to take was 19 raise it with the Chief Justice. 20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you did? And I did. Has he disclosed that 21 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 22 to you or discussed that with you? 23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Oh, no, I haven't had any 24 discussions with any of them. I couldn't at this 25 stage. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 26 Okay. So I've a very crystal 27 clear memory of it. I know exactly where we spoke, what time we spoke roughly. 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 29 But I think one thing that comes

across to me is that you didn't know there was going to
 be a dinner at that time so you would have asked the
 Chief Justice about whether it's appropriate to play in
 the Oireachtas Golf Society game.

5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I would have known there would be 6 dinner of some sort or eating of some sort of. I 7 wouldn't have known whether it was going to be eating 8 as we came in or a group dinner.

9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

19

And I'm not saying that I raised 10 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: that with the Chief Justice. Of course I didn't. 11 But I expect that he would have known that there would 12 13 definitely be some element of eating involved. I mean 14 I know the Chief Justice is not a golfer, I don't think 15 he is anyway, maybe he's played a little, but he's 16 involved in other sporting activities and I'd be amazed 17 -- and he never -- can I tell you exactly what 18 happened?

20 We had the Judges' lunch. We went back down the stairs into the yard and I was minded... will I continue? 21 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes, please do. 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So I had it in my head, I think 24 possibly I'd mentioned it to my wife at that stage, the possibility of all this, and maybe discussed well, 25 check it with the Chief Justice. She's a clear memory 26 27 of conversations around that time with the Chief So when the lunch -- I wasn't sitting beside 28 Justice. 29 him at the lunch so when we went down into the Judges'

yard and when you come out that side door of Aras Uí 1 2 Dhálaigh I was going straight ahead to go back across 3 to the Law Library Building. I was moving stuff at the The Chief Justice was going in that door in the 4 time. 5 corner where Court 6 is. Do you know where I mean? 6 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I do. 7 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Crossing the yard. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 8 Yes. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And on that corner I called after 9 him and I said, 'Frank, listen because I'm new at all 10 11 this I just want to check with you something.' And I said, 'I've been invited to the Oireachtas Golf Society 12 13 outing. I don't see anything, I don't think there's 14 anything wrong with it.' I emphasised that it was a 15 non-party political event. And he immediately said to 16 me, 'I don't see any problem with that.' Now, in 17 fairness to him, I didn't go into details about what 18 socialising or whether there would be dinner or not, 19 but I'd be amazed if he didn't know that there was likely to be some form of eating. 20 21 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I mean what you say here is: 22 23 "I was not aware whether there would be a formal group 24 dinner or whether participants would dine separately 25 with their playing partners directly after their round of golf." 26 27 28 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Where is that, Judge? 29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: That's on page 3 just before the

1 events on Wednesday the 18th.

9

12

2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes, exactly. That's certainly So I couldn't have raised the precise issue of 3 riaht. 4 the dinner with him at that stage because I didn't know 5 myself was it going to be eating as we came in like 6 Baltray or was it going to be a group dinner. 7 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: But equally, if you go over the 8 page then on page 4, the end of the first paragraph:

10"At this stage I was not aware what arrangements, if11any, were in place for the game of golf."

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Sorry, Judge, where are you
reading from?

15 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I'm on page 4.

16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. No, I mean at the time I spoke to the Chief Justice I wasn't aware which of the 17 18 forms of eating was going to be involved. I would have 19 strongly suspected or knew that there would be some 20 eating involved. Not absolutely certain but likely to be either eating as we came in in a group of four, 21 22 possibly being put at the same table as other people, 23 another two or another four. Do you follow me, Judge? 24 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. Oh no, I'm listening to you. 25 MR. JUSTICE WOULEF: But I didn't know when talking to the Chief Justice. 26

27 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I just got the impression here 28 that you had in mind the game of golf, that you didn't 29 know if there was anything after the game of golf, and

that you weren't aware, on the top of page 4:

1

2

3

4

5

"At that stage I was not aware what arrangements, if any, were in place for after the game of golf."

6 You seem to be stressing that you did not know that 7 there would be a dinner or what arrangements there 8 would be.

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The point is the precise form of 9 eating or dinner. I knew it was highly likely, without 10 11 giving it too much thought, that there would be some 12 form of eating, as there was at the Bar Golf Society. 13 When you've been out on the course for four to five 14 hours, everybody eats nearly when they come in off the 15 course. The question is, is it eating immediately? 16 You might shower, if you're not showered at the moment. 17 As I say, in Baltray we more or less sat with our group 18 of four. Now one or two might have added into a I don't know if they had enough 19 particular table. 20 tables to give everybody a table of four. SO I didn't -- really, to be honest with you, I would have 21 22 given it very little thought.

23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: But I would have known

subconsciously, without giving it any degree of
thought, that there's going to be some form of eating
and that's either going to be, as always, eating as you
come in off the course or possibly a group dinner. And
a group dinner of course, in principle, was perfectly

1 permissible under the Regulations. 2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Let's look at page three. 3 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You discuss: 4 5 6 "I indicated to the Chief Justice that it was a 7 non-party political event and I did not see a problem 8 with me attending but that as a new judge I wanted to 9 check and he indicated that he had no problem with me 10 attending the event, or words to that effect." 11 12 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And then later on down: 14 15 "I was not aware whether there would be a formal group 16 dinner or where participants would dine separately with their playing partners, their golf..." 17 18 And then at page 4, over the page: 19 20 21 "I left the hotel around midday..." 22 23 This is on the morning of Wednesday the 19th. 24 25 MR. JUSTICE WOULEE: Yes. 26 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: "...at this stage I was not aware 27 what arrangements, if any, were in place for the game 28 of golf." 29

1 So I was under the impression that you knew you were 2 going to play a game of golf but you had no knowledge 3 of anything after that?

4 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: About the precise arrangements.
5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Right.

But I would have known that 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 7 broadly speaking there's going to be eating in some 8 shape or form, but I wouldn't have known what those arrangements were. In Baltray it had been eat when we 9 come in off the course. Other times -- in Powerscourt 10 11 it was the whole group, the year before, eating 12 together. Now I never sat down and started thinking 13 these things out in full detail. But subconsciously I 14 would have known there's going to be some eating. But 15 nobody -- I wouldn't have placed any great store on the 16 issue one way or the other and I wouldn't have been too bothered one way or the other. If there was no eating, 17 18 if it was eating as we came in, or if it was eating in 19 a formal dinner it would have been a very, very, you 20 know, it wouldn't have been a consideration really. At 21 that stage.

22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. well let us just go back 23 then perhaps to page 3. Events of Tuesday the 18th and 24 wednesday the 19th.

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Just before you do that, Judge,
because it is important to say. I went back to my wife
then in the light of that --

28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: -- because it was just good to

1 have got the reassurance and she also wanted just to be 2 careful and check with the Chief Justice. And I told 3 her, 'Chief Justice says no problem going to the outina.' 4 5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And then I'd a couple of phone 7 calls and I made, I've a note that shows that the phone 8 calls had to be on the Wednesday the 29th or the Thursday the 30th because the bottom part of the note 9 is me contacting on the hotel on the Friday. 10 11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Right. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 12 I have a habit of scribbling 13 things on the back of compliment slips. So I clearly 14 had a conversation with my wife and maybe one or two 15 conversations with Paul Coghlan where I now got the 16 exact dates. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 17 Yes. But, again, nobody is bothered 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: about dinner one way or the other in these 19 conversations. 20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: It doesn't seem to have been 21 22 raised. 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: It's an irrelevancy. But what I'm 24 interested in here is what precisely are the dates? 25 It's the 18th and 19th. I now know at this stage that 26 we're going to Donegal week two and week three and that 27 had only been confirmed relatively recently. Other 28 members of my families were going week one, even though it would have suited us better to be week one and two, 29

1 but you know what families are like.

2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

So I now know I'm going to Donegal 3 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: and initially myself I was slightly doubtful. 4 'It's an 5 awful long drive, it's an awful lot to do.' But then I say, 'look, it's holidays.' And that's a factor, it's 6 7 holidays, you can be more social than usual. I said to 8 my wife, 'look, they're a very nice crowd. I know they'll appreciate me going. We'll take the two cars.' 9 And I had a conversation with her about, it's nice to 10 11 suffer a long journey in the holidays to just listen to music. And I just listen to -- I'd taken a couple of 12 13 CDs that I'd got that I had no time to listen to for months. 14

15 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So that was the plan. But there would have been no focus of any kind on the dinner 17 18 issue one way or the other - good, bad or indifferent. 19 The whole point of going was to play in the golf and 20 whatever social interaction happened afterwards. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Excellent. Let us start then here 21 22 events of Tuesday the 18th and 19th:

"On Tuesday the 18th I was in the second week of a family holiday. I left there around midday. I arrived in the Station House at approximately 6:00."

26 27

23

24

25

28 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Can I just mention, I found it an
29 exhausting journey. I was regretting --

1 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: It's a terrible drive down, yes. 2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I'd to go through -- Donegal is 3 about two hours from -- we're in the very northeast of Donegal and of course it's always worse when you 4 5 actually do it. I try to see that it was roughly five 6 hours, it seemed to be more. I stopped at one point 7 Down from Sligo through Mayo, stopped where somewhere. 8 near Charlestown and then you think you're nearly there when you get to Westport because it seems like not too 9 10 far, the distance, but then the road starts getting 11 much worse. It's a wonderful road. 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So that is a slight factor in the 14 whole thing. I arrived very tired and this was supposed to be, you know, resting during holidays 15 16 having had a very tiring couple of months. Six months 17 really since Covid started. Emergency legislation, 18 emergency regulations, all the rest of it. 19 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So you were then in contact with 20 Paul Coghlan? 21 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And he invited you to dinner in another hotel in Clifden and that's the 18th. 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 24 Yes. 25 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you had a dinner and then you went back to the Station House? 26 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 27 Yes. 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Were you aware that evening of any public pronouncement of new Government rules in 29

1 relation to public dining issued through the media that
2 night?

No, no, I wasn't unfortunately. 3 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Normally I'm a news addict and normally I'm always 4 5 checking my phone and listening to the news on the radio. My wife would be giving out to me, you know, 6 7 for doing it too much and I'd have the radio on 8 listening. The only bit -- I allowed myself one bit of news headlines at one stage in between the music and 9 the issue I heard about was there a row about 10 11 restriction of spectators at sporting events and that 12 would be close to my heart.

13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And going in to that, the view
seemed to be they would increase it from 200 to maybe
500.

17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And it seemed odd that you 19 couldn't have more people in big stadia, to me. 20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: But you weren't aware, that evening, of the Government announcement? 21 22 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, no, and unusually for me, you 23 know, I didn't really check my phone for news as much. 24 I didn't buy a newspaper the next morning that I would 25 normally do. There wasn't one available on the 26 reception desk. I would nearly always, when I'm 27 staying in a hotel, you know, I'm a newspaper addict get the newspaper and read the news. But I didn't do 28 29 that. And to some extent it was deliberate, I was

1 switching off.

16

2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes, you were on holiday. So on 3 the morning you had breakfast and you learned about 4 vour tee time?

5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Can I just emphasise again. 6 Breakfast on my own. I was, you know, not that closely 7 involved in the event and I didn't know very many 8 people playing in it.

9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

10 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And that's kind of interesting 11 that I didn't about with a group of four where there 12 might have been chat about what are we doing tomorrow 13 night? Is there a group dinner? There might have been 14 more conversation, but I was on my own and I'd very 15 little interaction.

17 The other thing I'd say, I met the owner of the hotel, 18 John Sweeney, when I came down for breakfast. And again I was impressed with the man. He was, you know, 19 even though he was the owner of the hotel -- I wasn't 20 quite sure at that stage if he was the owner or the 21 22 He was walking around the lobby trying to manager. 23 help everybody. And when you came down -- you had to book breakfast when you checked in the evening before. 24 So when I came down and came out of the lift there 25 26 seemed to be a breakfast room on my right - which 27 ultimately turned out to be the dining room where this dinner was held - and a breakfast room on the left of 28 29 the lobby, both sides of the lobby, and I asked him,

1 thinking he was maybe only the manager, 'do you know 2 which room I'm in?' Which was a stupid question and he kind of slightly laughed politely but said to me, 'oh, 3 I wouldn't know those kind of details but let me bring 4 5 me over to...' I think it was James, who is a son, who 6 was the general manager and I went into the other 7 dining room. But these two rooms were like dining 8 rooms, relatively small rooms.

So I'd met John Sweeney then but I didn't know he was 10 11 going to be at the dinner later on or anything. 12 Normally, if I was at home in Dublin and not on 13 holidays. I'm an organised person and I'd be thinking 14 ahead what's happening this evening? But because I'm 15 on holidays and I don't have my wife or kids with me or 16 anything, I'm kind of freewheeling and look, I'll go out to the golf club, I'll bring a change of clothes 17 18 and whatever happens then after the golf, grand. Ι 19 still don't know the precise arrangements MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 20 Yes. Excellent. But you learnt 21 your tee time was 1:20. 22 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you arranged to meet one of 24 your four-ball for a cup of tea in the golf club in 25 Ballyconneely beforehand? 26 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 27 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you left the hotel about

28 midday?

9

29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes.

1 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Again you say: 2 3 "At that stage I was not aware what arrangements, if any, were in place after the game of golf." 4 5 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 7 And then you arrived in MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 8 Ballyconneely. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 9 Yes. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you went upstairs to the bar? 10 11 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yeah. Having played the previous 12 year I knew what the potential drill was. 13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. That they'd be in the 14 clubhouse at a desk checking in and, you know, that's how you kind of register a check-in and telling you who 15 16 you're playing with and so on. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 17 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Last year it had been downstairs 19 in the lobby of the golf club, this year it was 20 upstairs at the bar. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 21 Yes. 22 So as I go into the bar, on my MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 23 left, Donie Cassidy and Deputy Grealish are behind the 24 desk and they've got paperwork in front of them with a 25 list of players and son. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 26 Yes. 27 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I never saw a time sheet or a list 28 of players so I never knew how many people were playing 29 in the event.

1 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So what triggered off the dinner 3 issue was, as I checked in and they took the money off me, they handed me some kind of a ticket or a docket or 4 5 a voucher and they said, 'this is for dinner' and maybe I said, 'well what dinner?' And they said, 'dinner 6 7 tonight in the hotel, Station House hotel at 9:00 p.m.' 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So now that's really when you first become aware that the dinner is to be held in the 9 Station House at 9:00. 10

- 11 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes.
- 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Did you consider phoning the 13 Chief, or any other member of the Court to seek 14 guidance in relation to the dinner.
- Ah no. 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I think that would have 16 been ridiculous, with respect, Judge, I really do. You 17 know, I don't think an adult person on holidays in that 18 kind of a sense would go bothering the Chief Justice at that stage. Particularly there was nothing to spark 19 off bother in my mind that there was any question going 20 back to him. As I say, I take it, and I don't know if 21 22 you'll speak to him, but I take it that he would --23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I won't speak to anybody. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 24 Okay. Well you see, it's kind of 25 important. My assumption is that he knew, or would have known, that there would be some kind of social 26 27 element to the golf. You don't just go out and play 18 holes of golf. Now, he wouldn't have known precisely 28 the details because I didn't know them myself but there 29

1 was no need to go checking those details with him. 2 Either originally or subsequently on the day when I found out. And there was nothing inherently dangerous 3 4 about the fact there was going to be a dinner. 5 Gatherings of 50 people were allowed under the Regulations. And it's the Regulations that I would 6 7 have been involved in the Attorney General's Office, 8 these so-called guidelines to go back to, I've never seen a copy of them in the Attorney General's Office. 9 I doubt if one ever came in the door. And there's a 10 11 load of different guidelines for different sectors but we can come back to those issues. 12 13 MR. COLLINS: Could I ask a guestion. Judge? If not 14 it's fine. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 15 Of course. 16 MR. COLLINS: when you did first contact the Chief 17 Justice, was your concern about judges going to an 18 Oireachtas event, what I will call the separation of

- 19 powers point issues or was it a Covid thing in your 20 mind?
- MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: A hundred percent separation of 21 22 powers. The Covid issue never came into it - good, bad or indifferent. 23 I had no basis to have a fear about 24 Covid because I didn't know the details and I had no 25 general fear about Covid. And I had gone --26 Then was there anything -- when you MR. COLLINS: 27 learned about the dinner, was there anything that sparked any concern in your mind from a separation of 28 29 powers perspective about having the dinner?

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Absolutely not. I don't see how
 it could.

3 MR. COLLINS: Sorry, Judge.

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Thanks, Mr. Collins. Can I say 4 5 this to you as well: I mean in the background, while I wasn't sitting down on my own in the clubhouse and 6 7 suddenly start to think about all the detail, I was 8 aware that the Regulations, such as the Regulation for 50 persons, I would have been aware in a very general 9 hazy way that there were detailed guidelines and rules 10 11 about all of that but that the whole point was to reopen up the country. That changed unfortunately. 12 13 This was around the exact very unfortunate 14 circumstances, it was almost on the cusp of that. But 15 the Government policy was for people to go and support hotels and go to events. And I knew that the 16 17 quidelines in a broad way, the guidelines were designed 18 to liberalise, as much as possible, what was in the 19 Regulations. So if the Regulations said 50, the Government policy was the hotels would say, 'we can't 20 function with 50 people on the premises.' And I knew, 21 22 in broad terms, the Government had agreed to provide 50 people on the premises. And when I went to the local 23 24 hotel in the village in Donegal I was in, you go in --25 I wasn't thinking this through at the time, but 26 thinking back on it, you go in the lobby of the hotel -27 and I gather this is the same over the country. This is not unusual, this event, in that sense. You go in 28 29 the door of the hotel, the bar is on the left with an

open door and there's maybe up to 50 people in there. 1 2 The sitting room, if you want to call it or another room is on the right where we were one of the nights in 3 the bar, with an open door, and there was up to 50 4 5 people in there, and the restaurant behind the sitting room on the right-hand side is operating bar food with 6 7 possibly up to 50 people in there. So the hotels, and 8 I knew, broadly speaking, that was the case all over the country. So there was no panic about there's a 9 And there was no reason to be. 10 dinner. I'd been in 11 Donegal eating out dinner two or three times in the 12 local hotel. When we talk about, Judge, in the 13 Guidelines, we'll come back to the separate defined 14 spaces. In the hotel in Donegal --MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Let's leave that for a moment. 15 16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Okay. 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I'm still on page 4, okay. 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: My attitude when the dinner was mentioned, there was some caution by me. 19 So it registered with me that this was a group dinner as 20 opposed to us eating when we come in. 21 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So I've a flicker of a question or 24 hesitation and I ask Paul Coghlan, because he's my host 25 and I think he's part of the organising committee so I don't have to ask Donie Cassidy directly or 26 27 Noel Grealish, who are still registering people and are busy over to my left. I got an extremely strong 28 29 reassurance from him, as I said in my statement, that

1 Donie and the organising committee had consulted 2 extensively with the authorities and ensured that everything would be in compliance with the rules and 3 the public health guidelines. And it was said to me in 4 5 emphatic terms that that was the case. Do you see, 6 Judge, where I'm at in the middle of page 4? 7 Oh, yes, I do. So you're saying MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: that it's the conversation with Paul Coghlan that 8 reassures that Covid is being --9 Absolutely. And the kind of 10 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE:

11 people that I know implicitly he's giving the assurance 12 on behalf of, Donie Cassidy and I mentioned Captain of 13 the Guard, what's his name again? John Flaherty. So I 14 instinctively have a good basis for relying upon that 15 reassurance.

16MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Did you actually discuss 50 people17or any details like that?

18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: NO. No. And I don't think people 19 generally would. I think it's artificial, with respect, that people would. And, you know, and did I 20 immediately in my brain call up the number 50? 21 Tt's 22 hard to know in retrospect. I would have been aware there was a 50 but at that split second, what I would 23 24 have been aware was that there's a numbers restriction 25 but there's loads of guidelines with flexibility about the numbers restriction. 26

27 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

28 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I would have known that and I
29 would have seen it, without thinking too much about it.

1 And I would have been told of people in very famous and 2 prominent hotels in Wexford and Kerry, their holiday experience, and one or two friends had mentioned to me 3 that there was, you know, no large numbers eating at 4 5 night in a couple of very prominent hotels in Ireland. 6 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Absolutely. I will turn over to 7 page 5 and it's really a continuation of the same 8 thing. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Can I just add, sorry to interrupt 9 10 vou. 11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 12 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: It's useful. What I wasn't sure 13 about when this maelstrom exploded, what I wasn't sure 14 about was just a conversation with Paul Coghlan but 15 helpfully it appears that the conversation took place as we sat down at a table. So let's say the reception 16 desk is --17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 18 And which table are we talking about? 19 20 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That's what I'm going to. So the 21 reception desk case is where Mr. Conboy is, we'll say, 22 right? MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 23 Yes. 24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And then you turn right Okay. 25 into the bar. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 26 Yes. 27 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And I think it was the first table So the side of the bar was here so I 28 beside the bar. think we went straight from there, about this kind of 29

distance (indicating), I think slightly -- to a table 1 2 where my three playing partners were sitting. 3 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Now, this is in Ballyconneely, is it? 4 5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Ballyconneely Golf Club, in the So I wasn't sure did I have the 6 aolf club. 7 conversation with Paul out of hearing with those people but I've been able to check, and you'll have seen the 8 statement of Lorraine Higgins that Lorraine heard the 9 conversation and she's a very clear memory of me, you 10 11 know, raising it and looking for assurance. 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So you raised your concern with 13 him about the event. 14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 15 And he is a person that you are 16 satisfied --MR. JUSTICE WOULEE: 17 Concern might be putting it too 18 strongly. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 19 Yes. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Just a slight query about the fact 20 that unlike at Baltray, where we ate when we came in, 21 22 this was now a group dinner. And I just queried it. 23 But I got a very, very strong reassurance from Paul and 24 was like, look, Donie and the committee have -- and that was the kind of phrase, they have consulted 25 extensively with the authorities to ensure that 26 27 everything complies. 28

77

What kind of put the extra tin hat on it was somebody

said. 'Séamus, the event was going to be in the golf
 club, the dinner, but it's been moved to the hotel to
 ensure compliance with the guidelines.'

4 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

10

5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And you see that was probably the 6 same issue as in Baltray. I didn't think about it 7 deeply at the time, but the clubhouse upstairs was one 8 room and I didn't know there was going to be more than 9 50 people, but that was presumably the issue.

11 Now I understand the organisers themselves weren't sure 12 whether there would be 50 people or not and it was 13 moved to the hotel in case there would be 50. You see 14 the weather forecast is another important issue I must 15 mention, Judge. The weather forecast was dreadful 16 leading up to that Wednesday and as of Saturday and 17 Sundays I was checking it every day and I wasn't to go 18 at all if it was clear it was going to be raining all day. And it looked like it was. And if that had 19 happened none of this maelstrom would have happened 20 because a lot of people wouldn't have played on the 21 22 Wednesday at all and there couldn't have been any 23 dinner for more than 50 people.

24MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You have a reply from Paul Coghlan25about how it's been well run and Donie Cassidy.

26 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes.

27 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you're satisfied with that and 28 was there no discussion about the fact that there had 29 been, the night before, new guidelines, the Government

1	announcement?
2	MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: NO.
3	MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: No discussion at all about that?
4	MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No. I found out retrospectively,
5	and you'll have see it in the engineer's report that
6	Donie Cassidy Noel Grealish heard something about
7	it, raised it with Donie Cassidy and Donie Cassidy did
8	the correct thing and contacted the Irish Hotels
9	Federation. You see there's a chain in the way these
10	worked, these guidelines.
11	MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Oh, I understand, yes.
12	MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And the chain was very, very
13	importantly because it's in a way hypocritical, the
14	Government of Ireland are at the top of the chain.
15	MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: They announce it.
16	MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And then Fáilte Ireland and then
17	the actual board representative body.
18	MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.
19	MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: But the sector consult in the
20	first instance with the representative body. If
21	they've any doubt about it, they ask the next leg up on
22	the chain. But in my experience as Attorney General in
23	a number of these cases, when there was a query, I just
24	heard this, I wasn't in direct experience of it. Those
25	queries ended up at the highest level in the Department
26	of An Taoiseach. So when we see this e-mail later on
27	about the Department of Tourism confirming that there
28	were no new guidelines in place on the Wednesday, that
29	was probably cleared with the Department of An

1 Taoiseach, but that's perhaps not for you to have to 2 deal with, Judge, thankfully. 3 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I don't have to deal with that, thank goodness. That's one thing I don't have to deal 4 5 with. 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: One thing, Judge, that I do have 7 to emphasise, if I hadn't any experience with 8 Donie Cassidy or John Flaherty I mightn't have had such close to complete confidence in them, to be honest with 9 Once I got those assurances I really didn't think 10 vou. 11 much after that moment again about there being any risk 12 about breach of guidelines. 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You said already that you talked 14 to Enda Kenny. 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, I met him in Powerscourt the 16 previous year. 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Oh, you met him in Powerscourt. You didn't meet him at Ballyconneely? 18 19 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, he only played on the Tuesday, 20 I understand. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Ah, he didn't play on the 21 22 wednesday? 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, but contrary to media reports 24 my information is that he told people, on Tuesday - his 25 playing partners - that he couldn't go to the dinner on 26 the wednesday night because he had another commitment. 27 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: All right. And what about Dick Spring, who is the other name? 28 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: My understanding is Dick Spring 29

played on the Wednesday but my understanding from the 1 2 organisers is that a family dinner because of a 70th birthday, but that's just what I've been told. 3 4 MR. COLLINS: Did you meet him on the day? 5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: NO. I didn't me Dick Spring. Ι never saw a time sheet, so I don't know --6 7 You didn't Dick Spring? MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 8 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I never saw him but I never even 9 saw a list of who was playing, so I don't know did they start playing at 10 o'clock or 11 o'clock and was I one 10 11 of the last or was I one of the first? 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You've been talking about the 13 maelstrom. 14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 15 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And the reason I asked you about 16 Enda Kenny is because we have The Independent, I'll 17 hand it over to you in a minute. 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 19 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: "Enda Kenny refused invite to 20 Golfgate dinner and was very unhappy about it going ahead." 21 22 23 And then: 24 "Former Taoi seach refused a dinner invitation to the 25 Station House from the Oireachtas Golf Society and was 26 27 very unhappy about it going ahead. He played golf on the Tuesday but then made it clear he would not attend 28 29 the dinner and did not thing it was a good idea. Не

believed it would send out the wrong signal to the
 general public."

3

4

5

6

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: May I see that? (SAME HANDED) Thank you.

Ι

obviously wasn't talking to Enda Kenny. But I'm told
that Enda Kenny said to his playing partners on the
Tuesday that he'd another commitment on the Wednesday
night and couldn't attend the dinner. Now, I knew none
of that at the time.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I don't have to decide that. 12 13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I didn't know that at the time one 14 way or the other. Can I say this: The previous 15 year -- Enda Kenny's been at a lot of dinners in the 16 last or 10 or 15 years and the previous year in Powerscourt I had a drink with him when we went 17 18 upstairs, along with Noel Dempsey and a serving judge 19 who was a relative of Noel Dempsey. They were the four I had a drink with before the dinner. And Enda Kenny 20 didn't stick around for the dinner that year either, he 21 22 went to meet his son in Dublin and go for dinner with 23 So I would say he's probably very sick of going him. 24 to dinners, Judge, as you probably were, when you were finished as Chief Justice. 25

26 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So tell me, I think to some extent 27 you might have answered it, but did Enda Kenny speak to 28 you at all?

29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Enda Kenny?

1 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 2 No, I never met him on this event. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 3 As far as I know he was there on the Tuesday. Τ 4 arrived --5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You never met him in 6 Ballyconneely? 7 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, I arrived on Tuesday at six 8 o'clock and I presume he left the golf club. Of course, don't forget Enda Kenny lives in Castlebar. 9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Oh, I know. 10 11 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So he can go home. As some people 12 did, I think. They played golf and went home and never 13 stayed for any dinner. 14 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And sorry, the dinner could have 16 been on the Tuesday or the Wednesday night. 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: They had it on the Wednesday 19 night. 20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: They had it on the Wednesday night, exactly. 21 22 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Can I emphasise: My knowledge 23 about Donie Cassidy from in a general way and then when 24 the golf happened and playing with his son the previous 25 year that I regarded him as a person of high integrity, high ability, high organisation and as a hotelier 26 27 businessman. Whatever about relying upon, again I can make the point, a pub golf society where the person in 28 29 charge knows nothing about the hospitality trade, this

is the man who himself in his daily basis is dealing
 with the Guidelines.

3 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

4 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And that's an important factor, 5 allied to John Flaherty who I would just have huge trust and faith and confidence in. 6 7 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Now I think there's nothing there 8 until we get to the night of wednesday the 19th. Т mean I have your statement there about who were in your 9 four-ball and the weather and you had a drink in the 10 11 Station House before dinner and then you went and had a 12 So that brings us then to the night of -rest. 13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Judge, just to correct. Did you 14 say we had a drink in the Station House? We arranged

to meet for a drink in the Station House, we had a drink in the golf club.

17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

15

16

20

21

22

23

18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And had some lunch.

19 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

"Three of us arranged to meet for a drink in the Station House before dinner."

24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: A very casual kind of arrangement.

25 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

26 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: You know, 'we might have a drink 27 at 8:00 or 8:15', something like that.

28MS. JUSTICE DENHAM:Yes. Then that brings us to the29night of the 19th.You went downstairs at 8:15 and you

1 met your playing partners for a drink? 2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Can I just say something here perhaps to give a sense of reality to this. Having got 3 those assurances I wasn't going around, you know, with 4 5 a sort of a reserved suspicion that they mightn't 6 comply with the guidelines or anything. I had placed 7 my truth and faith with them, on the basis of those 8 assurances, and I was very reassured and I was relaxed going downstairs and going to dinner. So I wasn't on 9 quard, or snooping around or should I print out a copy 10 11 of the guidelines and check them, or anything. So when I came downstairs. 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 14 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You didn't ask anybody any more 15 about whether or not the Covid --16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: NO. There was no sense of a big 17 crowd. This is the funny thing. Why I was astonished 18 on the Friday morning when the bomb went off to hear 19 the media suggesting there were 80 people at the 20 dinner. When I went into the bar there seemed to be a relatively small number of people around. 21 Now, there 22 were also some people sitting - you'll have seen the 23 engineer's photographs - in the chairs in the lobby 24 outside the bar. But of course I wouldn't have known 25 if those people were quests in the hotel. There were a 26 lot of other people staying in the hotel other than the 27 golf people staying in the hotel. So there was no sense to me, in the way there often is at functions 28 29 when you've a pre-dinner drink, that there's a big

1 In fact the opposite. I would only have seen crowd. 2 in the bar six or seven or eight people that I knew 3 were involved in the aolf. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 4 So at that time did you know that 5 some people had left the golf and were not coming to the dinner? 6 7 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes, I did. 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And was there any discussion or did anybody have a discussion with you about the reason 9 for not going to the dinner was a concern? 10 11 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Discussion I would have would be 12 they weren't going to dinner for logistical reasons. 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Right. 14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Not a single person raised a 15 concern about Covid issues. So one person that I know, 16 he had come on the Monday, his wife had come with him, they'd broken off from their family holiday with their 17 18 kids, somebody else was minding their kids, and having 19 stayed Monday night, played in the golf Tuesday, his wife tempted to play, though she doesn't normally play 20 golf, and that seems to have survived, the marriage 21 22 seems to have survived that day, and he went -- so he 23 played on Wednesday then but didn't stick around 24 afterwards. So the two of them drove away on the 25 Wednesday. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 26 So you had no sense of Yes. 27 people being concerned and refusing to go to the dinner? 28 29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: zero. Zero sense.

1 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Excellent. Well then let us move 2 on. You entered the dining room. Just looking at the 3 photographs you gave to us. MR. JUSTICE WOULEE: 4 Yes. 5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: when you went into the dining room I think you went past a table with two chairs? 6 7 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: If you go to, it may be of 8 assistance to go to them, it's tab 5 in my book of photographs and if you look at image 4 maybe is where 9 I'm starting. I'm in the bar there, if you can read 10 11 the sign above "The Library"? 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So we're at --13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I'm at image 4 on page 6. SO. 14 Judge, I'm just starting back with being in the bar and 15 coming out of the bar. 16 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: The Library is the bar. The Library is the bar and I was 17 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 18 with Lorraine Higgins and one or two other people 19 having a drink. My memory is that I didn't even get my pint finished and Paul Coghlan came in and said. 20 'will you come all inside now,' and I think he said, 'there's 21 22 Prosecco and stuff inside. So I come out, I turn left through that door, do you see the door the other side 23 24 where there's a bit of wall to the right of The Library 25 entrance, do you see that, Judge? MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 26 Yes. 27 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So I turn right and then let's go to image 6 maybe, I turn left rather, turn left into 28 that corridor. 29

1 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You turn left into that door, yes. 2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Into that corridor, yes. 3 MR. MURPHY: I wonder, Judge, just for the record, could you to name the photographs. 4 5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I'm going to image 6 now, the 6 access hall into the suite. I was walking in a group 7 of at least two other people, Lorraine Higgins and the Moroccan Ambassador, and I was chatting. I never 8 noticed that table. I see it now in the photograph. 9 10 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So that table we're looking at is 11 in image 6 and it has a chair on either side. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 12 Yes. 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And I understand that there was a 14 table plan on top of it? 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I've seen that said but I've also 16 seen references that Noel Grealish was walking around 17 holding the table plan outside in the lobby. And he 18 was stopping anybody who wasn't -- I didn't know any of 19 this at the time, but he was stopping anybody who wasn't in the Omey Suite going into that corridor you 20 see in image 6 and he was diverting them off to a 21 22 different corridor which leads to the other suite. 23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So what you're saying is you 24 didn't see that? 25 MR. JUSTICE WOULEF: NO. You didn't see that? 26 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 27 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: NO. But, what was I going to say to you? Normally at events - and I'm sure you've had 28 the same experience - if I'd gone into the room I would 29

1 expect the table plan to be inside the room probably. 2 As soon as I arrived at the door of the room, somebody I think Paul Coghlan said, 'Séamus you're at table 5.' 3 So I never needed to look for a table plan. 4 5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you sat down. 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, I didn't sit down immediately. 7 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Right. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 8 I stood at the table for a few minutes and. At that stage I was, I think, the second 9 person to arrive at the table and there was a lady on 10 11 my left, this is slightly important, a lady on my left who I'd never met before, I didn't know who she was. 12 13 She turned out to be the Lady President or Lady Captain 14 of the golf club and she'd been invited. They always 15 usually do that at these golf things. You know, 16 whatever club the place is hosted in somebody gets invited to dinner and is thanked for offering the 17 18 facilities. So at that point I was able to engage in 19 conversation with her. But it's important in this Later on most of the time I was directing my 20 regard. head and my body to the right to Lorraine Higgins and 21 22 the Ambassador. I didn't speak with that lady very 23 much after the early part of the dinner because she 24 knew the people on the her left, which were the hotel 25 owner's wife and the hotel owner, Mr. Sweeney. SO I had a chat with her, introduced myself for, you know, 26 27 what would have been five minutes perhaps before the other people came and we all sat down. 28 29 MR. MURPHY: Judge, I wonder if it might be appropriate

1 to take a very short comfort break for just two 2 minutes?

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: of course.

THE MEETING ADJOURNED BRIEFLY AND RESUMED AS FOLLOWS:

5 6

3

4

7 I appreciate and I will try and MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 8 keep the answers a little bit shorter, if I can. Can I just go back on one point I need to clarify, because it 9 might be helpful? This idea of the make up of the 10 11 society and the volume of politicians as opposed to the 12 volume of other people and family and friends. Ι 13 managed to get information last night from one of the 14 organisers that the figures for the Wednesday were, 15 just bear with me a sec, please, Judge, that there was 16 one sitting TD on the Wednesday played, six sitting senators - so seven active politicians - 16 former 17 18 members of the Oireachtas, so we're now up to 23, 7 and 19 16 either active or former members of the Oireachtas 20 and that that represented approximately 50% of the total number of playing, probably slightly less. I 21 22 wasn't able to get an absolute figure for total who 23 played in the end but it was in the high 40s I was 24 told. Of course I had played the first year with one 25 former politician and one relative of at that stage a former politician and this year I played with one 26 27 former senator, one former TD who I surprised when he told me he had left the Dáil in 2002, it didn't seem 28 like so long, Gerry Reynolds, and the fourth person 29

1 was -- I'll give you an example of how informal this 2 whole business was, I think. The fourth person, my understanding is a man called Tony Walsh. 3 I know his 4 face, I play squash in Fitzwilliam, squash is my main 5 sport. So when I saw this man sitting at the table with a cup of tea, I thought, 'God, I know that guy, 6 7 what's he doing here?' So it seems that he holidays in 8 Ballyconneely and he drops up to the golf club regularly and he got roped in at the last minute to 9 play. And when Paul Coghlan didn't feel like going out 10 11 a second day and playing the Tuesday -- sorry, correct 12 Tony Walsh himself played on the Tuesday just that. 13 because he was in Ballyconneely and he was down around 14 the golf club and there must have been a spare slot or 15 something.

16 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

17 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And then on the wednesday he ended 18 up in my group, and I don't know what background 19 Mr. Walsh is from but that -- now he then ended up at the dinner I think. I think I saw him when I came in 20 at the first table on the right at Paul Coghlan's 21 22 I presume he knew nothing about the dinner table. 23 until the Tuesday or the Wednesday.

24MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Just thinking about that, there's25one other thing I'd like to go back. As you say,

26 there's been a maelstrom of media about all of this.

27 If I could take image 9 in your book.

28 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes.

29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: You have here the table in the

1 hallway which contained the table plan. 2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 3 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So that's a public area. MR. JUSTICE WOULEF: Yes. 4 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 5 And people could go by --6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 7 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: -- and they would see your name on 8 the table plan? MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes, if they saw it or read it 9 which I doubt many people did. 10 Yes. 11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: But it was there on the --12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you said that. I accept that 13 you didn't see it but the point is that it is a 14 document in a public place. 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: well it is, except I've been told 16 that Deputy Grealish picked it up and was walking around with it. That's what I've been told. 17 I don't 18 know if that's true or not. 19 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: well, it was there for part of the 20 evening anyway. well, the engineer must have got 21 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 22 instructions from the hotel owner that it was there. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 23 Yes. 24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So that's the basis for that 25 reference. That's, I don't think, coming from any player at the event might have seen it. 26 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 27 Yes. 28 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That's my assumption that at 29 the -- the only people, the only place the engineer

could have got that is from my solicitor or the hotel 1 2 So the hotel owner must think it was put there. owner. But Deputy Grealish is maybe the only one who could 3 answer that question, based upon what the organisers 4 5 have told me. Because he had to be outside that area 6 if he was going to be directing people to the other 7 suite and he had to know who was at what table to So it couldn't have been there if he was 8 direct them. doing what he was supposed to be doing. 9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 10 Unless he had a second copy. 11 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That's possible and we can 12 speculate endlessly. 13 MR. COLLINS: Or he could have picked it up, I suppose, 14 form the table and taken it away. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: He could. It could be either. 15 16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: what I've been told is that he had 17 the table plan. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 18 Right. 19 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I haven't been told there's any 20 suggestion -- and I doubt very much for a society golf outing they would bother printing too many. 21 22 MR. MURPHY: I am just concerned. There is information which I've referred to Mr. Collins, of a framed table 23 24 plan. If you wish we can put that to you and show you 25 the information, but I just have a concern that it is part of the narrative and --26 27 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: One way or the other I never saw it so I know nothing about the table plan. 28 29 MR. MURPHY: But if an issue is being raised as to

1 whether one existed in the frame...

2 MR. COLLINS: I think as far as the issues really are 3 are to do with what Judge Woulfe knew and was aware of 4 and the judgements that he made based on the knowledge 5 that he had, I'm not sure it's really necessary to go 6 into extraneous sources that we don't know really the 7 provenance of them at all.

- 8 MR. MURPHY: No, but I just want to be clear. Is there 9 a dispute being made that there was a table plan on the 10 table?
- MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I never saw it is the point. So I don't know what it said. I've never seen it, even now. MR. MURPHY: I think that's a separate issue. Insofar as you could see it but is there dispute that one existed at all?
- 16 MR. COLLINS: We can't say there was no table NO. 17 Neither can we say for certain that there was a plan. Judge Woulfe never saw a table plan. 18 table plan. If 19 somebody else says there was a table plan that may well We're not in a position to say that was 20 be correct. not so. So we're not disputing it in that sense, it's 21 22 simply that we have no information about it one way or 23 the other.
- 24 MR. MURPHY: Again, just for the purposes, there is a 25 recording which we are in a position to show to you, 26 unless you have an objection, if there is any dispute 27 that there was in existence a table plan.
- 28 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: My answer is (a) I never saw is
 29 but (b) that that my information, and it may be correct

1 or incorrect, from one of the organisers is that the 2 organiser, Noel Grealish, was holding the table plan 3 and was diverting people outside where, if you go back to image 4. Do you see image 4, Judge? 4 5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes, I have it. 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: You see some people, I knew none 7 of this at the time of course. Some people had to 8 go -- do you see the doors there to the right, there's The Library doors, there's the doors I went through and 9 10 if you go keep going across the doors on the right, 11 that's the corridor down to the other suite. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 12 Right. 13 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So Noel Grealish had to be out 14 there somewhere in the lobby in order to divert people 15 that way or into the corridor I was in. So he had to 16 know, I didn't know at the time, he had to know whether 17 a person was going to one dining room or the other 18 dining room. 19 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I think a concern is that there appears to have been a table plan and I must consider 20 21 whether there was a table plan, but most the important 22 thing is did you see a table plan? 23 MR. COLLINS: Does it really matter if he didn't see 24 it? 25 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Didn't see it, was never aware of it and have never seen it. 26 27 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: That answers the concern from that point of view in the sense that we have discussed that 28 29 there was a table plan, we understand but that you

1 didn't see it.

2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Because we have had a maelstrom of media and I anticipate we might have one later on so we must address the issues. I think that's it.

- 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I understand.
- 7 MR. COLLINS: All right.
- 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: So that brings us down to the end 9 of page 5 I think.

Just bear with me a moment, Judge, MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 10 11 just to get my bearings again. Right, I think I was 12 discussing the start of the dinner and, you know, going 13 to the table and I said in the statement it wasn't a 14 particularly big room, it was a dining room rather than 15 what I would normally consider a function room. I had 16 seen it, I had glanced at it in the morning where it 17 appeared to be an overflow breakfast room or an 18 alternative breakfast room on the right. And I wasn't 19 struck by anything when I went in that it was a big room or it was a particularly big crowd. You'll note I 20 said on page 5, I'm at the second last full paragraph, 21 22 that my recollection is there were between six and eight tables in it. So I couldn't remember initially 23 24 when I was doing this statement how many tables there 25 were in it and it turns out there were six. This idea, I wasn't in any way on guard and I didn't count the 26 27 number of people in the room, although I now understand 28 there were apparently 45 people there. 29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: well, just looking at the room.

1 I'm looking at image 30.

2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And it appears that there's three
tables in the front, one on the left, so two and three,
and then three tables at the back.

6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Four and five in the middle which
appears to be your table.

9 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. And sometimes it's

10difficult, as we know from doing cases, personal injury11cases, with angles and stuff but I think that my table12is slightly forward from the two tables to the side.13I'm not quite sure why that is, but that was for a14social distancing reason and it doesn't come out very15clearly in that photograph. But I don't think the --16no, I don't think the three tables were in an exact

- 17 line.
- MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Page 16. I was just trying to
 find that myself because I think you're right if you
 look at it.
- MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Sorry, Mr. Murphy, is it page 16
 of the --
- 23 MR. MURPHY: Of the engineer's report.

24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The report, thank you. Yes. 25 that's exactly my point. I hadn't noticed that before. So your table was a little bit 26 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 27 forward and not in line with six and four. Now the only thing that occurs to me there is when you look at 28 29 your table, immediately behind you there is an open

1 partition, if you look at image 29? 2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. I think that's probably, 3 with respect, the wrong photograph to start with. My understanding is that opening only came during the 4 5 speeches. So I think you're probably better to go to 6 image 14, which shows my seat and shows the retractible 7 wall fully closed behind me. 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Okav. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Do you see it there, image 14, 9 10 Judge? 11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I do. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 12 And do you see me with the seat 13 square on facing forward. 14 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And with my back square on to the 16 retractible wall. 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. And there must have been 18 service people coming in and out even when the wall is, 19 as you say? what the engineer's report brings 20 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: out is that if you go to a different photograph there 21 22 was a gap at the end of the retractible wall. If you 23 go to image 25 on page 19? 24 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 25 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I'm just trying to see now. Actually it's better if you go to image 19 please, 26 27 which is, we are the Omey Suite, I think, aren't we? Go to image 19. You'll see at the end of the wall, in 28 29 other words from my seat if I was looking across to the

1 left, while I couldn't actually see the gap but there 2 was a gap there for service people to go to and from 3 the kitchen, I understand. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Let's have a look at 13. 4 5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Exactly. Image 13. If you were 6 sitting in one of the front tables, maybe two or three, 7 you could have seen that gap. I never saw it or was conscious of. 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And you weren't conscious of 9 people walking by, by you that they weren't walking 10 11 directly behind you? MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 12 Do you mean staff? 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I was conscious of staff serving 15 meals at times, yes. 16 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: But you weren't conscious of them 17 entering or leaving close by you? No, no. I never noticed that they 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 19 were coming specifically through a gap, but I wasn't aware of there being anything in the room behind me so 20 that could have been the kitchen for all I knew. 21 22 immediately behind me. I didn't know what was behind 23 the wall. I didn't know there was a second function 24 room behind the wall. You didn't? 25 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 26 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, at any stage. And also, can I just make the point, from my seat, this is why I was 27 making the point about image 19, you know, I'm not sure 28 even if I had tried to turn around that I could have 29

1 seen much of that gap. I would have seen maybe the 2 section of -- you see the way when the wall is retracted a bit of it then bends out into the wall 3 behind. 4 5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So I could see that but I'm not 7 sure -- I don't know because I never did look over in 8 that corner but I'm not sure that I would have seen much of a gap. And I'm not sure if the people even --9 do you see the chairs there on that table in image 19? 10 11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 12 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I'm not sure if those people could 13 see the people on the other side of the wall. I don't 14 think so because in one photograph it suggests they 15 couldn't. I'll try and find it. 16 Image 20, for example. MR. COLLINS: 17 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. If you go to image 20, 18 Do you see image 20? That's a very good photo Judge. 19 of the person in the best position to assess that gap 20 if they noticed it. Do you see the chair --MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 21 Yes. 22 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: -- furthest to the centre of the 23 photograph. 24 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 25 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Now on that view they can see a 26 tiny, tiny flicker of a chair through the gap. That's 27 all they can see. That's the service hatch. 28 MR. MURPHY: MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: That's the service hatch. 29

1 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That's the service hatch or gap or 2 whatever you want to call it. And the kitchen is that 3 way (indicating) so you have to come through to get to the kitchen it seems. I wasn't anyway aware or 4 5 conscious of thinking where they were bringing the food 6 from. 7 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Now, we then get to the Yes. 8 prizing giving. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 9 Yes. 10 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And they opened the partition. 11 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: A couple of points about this. If you see me, if you go to image 31, that's table 5 on 12 13 the left and I think my chair is supposed to be the 14 first photograph going in from the left edge. 15 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Or the second? One or the other. 16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I think the first, I think that's 17 the most square on to the wall. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 18 Oh, yes, from the left. Yes, I 19 see. Yes. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And I never knew the gap was 20 opened until media coverage later. But it wasn't -- do 21 you see the size of the panels? It was only open to a 22 small degree. 23 I mean it wasn't --24 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: It was -- it appears, when you 25 look at it, it appears to be immediately behind you. 26 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Or very slightly maybe over my 27 left shoulder, maybe, depending again on the angle. 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Were you aware when it was open? 29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, no. Can I say something,

1 Judge?

2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

3 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I have a pet hate life about
4 people that don't pay respect to speeches at functions.
5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: As Attorney General I had this 7 myself and it irritated me a lot. If people make an 8 effort to organise things or make a speech I believe in watching the speech and keeping guiet until the end of 9 the speech. And I spoke one sentence during the whole 10 11 of the speeches to Lorraine Higgins on my right and again, if anything I was slightly angled towards the 12 13 right and I made some joke about the speeches dragging 14 on a bit. And nothing unusual in that. The only thing 15 that happened was I have a vague recollection of a 16 fella called Gerry Brady won a prize and he seems to be the guy who, I think he's a husband of a former TD is 17 18 it Aine Kitt or Anne Kitt? She went by Brady, I think, 19 when she was a TD. I don't know if you know her, 20 Judge?

21 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: No.

22 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Or have come across her? She was 23 from Kildare. But this fella Gerry Brady I think is 24 the husband. And as far as I know, I'd a vague recollection of him coming to get a prize but I didn't 25 see him coming behind me coming, I saw him just on my 26 27 left, left and in front going up to get a prize. 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I mean did you or do you accept 29 that because this partition is opened there's a change

1 in the physical configuration of the room? 2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The guideline is separate defined 3 spaces. It's very arguable whether or not opening it for a few moments is a breach of the guideline. 4 If it 5 is it's a very, very miniscule breach by the 6 organisers. And how can a guest be responsible for 7 that happening? You didn't notice it? 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I didn't notice it. I never saw 9 it. And I was tired and, you know, I was chatting, I'd 10 11 a few glasses of wine and it's the end of the night, I 12 hope, or close to it. And, I mean, Judge, could I 13 posit the question: What was I supposed to do if I had 14 noticed it? MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 15 Well, I suppose that comes back to 16 whether there were a few times that you maybe should have considered whether you should have gone to the 17 18 I mean, first of all, when you're told about dinner. 19 it, should you have had concern about going to the dinner then? 20 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Despite the reassurances? 21 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: That's your answer, of course. 23 Then when you go into the dinner and you look around, 24 should you have reconsidered and left? 25 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: In circumstances where there was 26 45 people within the Regulations? 27 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: That's your answer. And then 28 thirdly when the door opened, the partition was opened 29 to another room where there were people and somebody

was coming in for a prize, should you have concern at
 that stage?

MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Okay, can I partly answer that question, the last one. If you go to image 30 on page 22.

6 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

3

4

5

7 If I had seen it, it looks as if I MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 8 would only have been able to see three seats. three extra diners in there, if I'd seen it. That's one 9 point. Was I then supposed to jump up from the table 10 11 and try and go in and possibly breach the Guidelines 12 myself by breaking the separate defined spaces and 13 examine what was going on in the other room? And where 14 does this notion stop? Was I then to jump over to the 15 organisers and say, 'hang on a moment, are these people 16 in the other room all part of this function?' 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Even forget all about all the 18 other people. I mean you are an honoured guest, you're 19 a judge.

20 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And having, we'll come back to this in a minute, but with that position should you not have had a concern when, as I say, these three separate events occurred, or any other time during the time from when you were told about the dinner until the dinner was over?

27 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: well, if it could be sort of more 28 specified to me why I should have a concern or what the 29 concern should have been? I can't see that that's the

1 case. And even if I'd seen it, I think it's totally 2 artificial and ridiculous almost to suggest that a quest, who's never seen the Guidelines, doesn't know 3 exactly what they say, any guest - whether he's a judge 4 5 or not - should suddenly take on the role of policeman 6 towards the end of a function that they're a quest at, risk extreme discourtesy to your host. And where does 7 8 it stop? Jump in there.

9 MR. COLLINS: Isn't it hypothetical?

10 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: yes, it is.

27

MR. COLLINS: I mean the key point is he didn't see it. So the occasion for him engaging in that type of revaluation never arose because he never actually saw it.

15 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: well, I think, you know, with the 16 benefit of hindsight, which is of course 20/20, would 17 you accept that you could have been vigilant in 18 relation to the evolving circumstances of the evening, 19 in particular the removal of the panel and the prize giving, in which the communal doors were opened? 20 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So my understanding, with the 21 22 benefit of hindsight, is knowing the facts you knew there and now thinking back. So it's very difficult to 23 24 see how benefit of hindsight avails me as regards the 25 small opening up of a gap where I never saw it. So I can't see with the benefit of hindsight. 26

28 Could I deal with that hindsight point, just while29 we're on it, generally?

1 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

20

2 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The three points. With the 3 benefit of hindsight should I have attended the event at all? There's nothing I've learnt since to suggest 4 5 that this was other than the purely social and recreational event, similar to the Bar Council 6 7 Chairman's dinner, or a benching when judges and 8 politicians mix socially. So the one thing, if I hadn't have checked with the Chief Justice, with the 9 benefit of hindsight I would say that I ought to have 10 11 taken that precaution. But seeing as I did, I don't think the benefit of hindsight will hope me. And I 12 13 hope that when the Judicial Council looks at this - and they will be the ones who will have to decide it - I 14 15 hope they still allow for the possibility of people 16 going and playing in the Oireachtas Golf Society 17 dinner. I'll be an advocate for that position, but there'll be contrary views. Some people may think it's 18 not appropriate and we'll have to argue it out. 19

But where does that stop? Where does that stop? 21 IS 22 that the end of the Chairman's dinners, the end of 23 benchings? Is it the end of a person -- what's the 24 difference between a member of Royal Dublin Golf Club 25 being in a four-ball with a politician in his club? You know? But anyway, that's another day's work, 26 27 Judge, I think. That's not my immediate problem what the future --28 29

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: well that, I mean what -- we're

discussing hindsight now and so the first thing is 1 2 should you have attended the event? And you've said 3 well I got the CJ's agreement, consent. 4 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Not just that. If I hadn't done 5 that, with the benefit of hindsight I would say perhaps I should have. But I don't think there's anything 6 7 overall with the benefit of hindsight -- I'll slow down 8 a little bit, sorry. I mean, I don't know can anybody point me to something that has emerged since that says 9 that this was, you know, a political event? You know, 10 11 judges go to conferences at times and there are 12 platforms where political events -- a judge might write 13 a book which trespasses a little bit into the political 14 arena and not just politics. As Attorney General a 15 judge asked me about the propriety of that and I think 16 he may have discussed it with you as well, Judge. SO I'm conscious of lines and spectrums. I think this is 17 18 -- I personally think this is on the side of the social 19 and recreational and not the political. 20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And bearing all of that in mind, you don't think -- do you think it would have been 21 22 prudent to have left the dinner, at any stage, especially when the panel was removed. 23 24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I'll just take them in sequence. 25 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. The second one, with the benefit 26 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 27 of hindsight should I have accepted the invitation to dinner? Again, what does the benefit of hindsight 28 throw up? What valid reason does it throw up that I 29

1 should not have accepted the invitation, other than I 2 think the extreme position that going to any event, 3 since Ireland sought to reopen, brings some risk that 4 the organisers might not fully comply with the 5 Regulations and the Guidelines. But (a), it looks like, and you don't have to make a conclusive 6 7 determination on this, but all of the evidence suggests 8 that they did and all the lies in the media, he first paragraph, that people knowingly attended, too many 9 people, is one of the biggest lies we've ever had in 10 11 these kind of, whatever phrase you want to use, you 12 know, somebody called it a national act of 13 self-destruction, one commentator said last Friday.

15 But going back to the point about some risk, with the 16 benefit of hindsight there might be some risk that you 17 put yourself in that the organisers may not fully 18 comply. I had very good reasons for relying upon the 19 organisers of this society because of their positions and experience and experience in the trade. 20 Donie Cassidy is a very successful hotelier and 21 22 businessman. And if people now, as they have done in 23 the media I think, take up that position that you 24 shouldn't go to anything because of that risk, that 25 would be contrary to Government policy at the time, the national mood and the economic imperative of hotels 26 27 getting business.

14

28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And what about as a judge?
29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The same as a judge. In this

sense you're an ordinary citizen going to a social and
 recreational event.

MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: well, are you an ordinary citizen?
we'll come back maybe to that?

5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Because of the nature of the event 6 I think you are.

7 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

8 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: If everybody suddenly decided that 9 day they weren't going to go, there's some extreme, 10 there's some slight risk, does the hotel give the money 11 back and lay off people? Does the hotel keep the money

- 12 for the dinner? Like what's --
- 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: well, do you accept that you were 14 free to leave the dinner at any time, if anything had 15 concerned you, for example, you saw staff moving in and 16 out of an opening or the panel being opened?
- 17 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: well, I don't see how seeing staff 18 moving in and out of an opening would have sparked off 19 concern. You have to know where they were coming from 20 and what was behind the opening.
- 21 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And what about the panel behind 22 you?

23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Never saw it. So can I address 24 that, about what is there with the benefit of hindsight 25 that I should have left the hotel in the light of the 26 situation prevailing? Now, the situation prevailing 27 appears to be the big lie that there were 80 people at the dinner and that I and others knew that. 28 How does 29 the benefit of hindsight help me or any other quest

1 there where I never saw the panel being pulled back? 2 So it's difficult to see how the benefit of hindsight can operate. You can only have the benefit of 3 4 hindsight based upon the facts as you knew them at the 5 time. 6 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Well --7 Can I just make --MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. No, go ahead, finish. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Can I make another point? 9 I']] try and stay came about it, because this is upsetting. 10 11 With the benefit of hindsight of course I would not have gone to the dinner because of the vilification 12 13 that I have suffered in the media since and the complete lack of fair procedures by the media and 14 15 numerous politicians, including 16 And I would not have inflicted that unjust attack on my good name, on myself, my family, my friends, my 17 18 colleagues, and the judiciary. And this prejudgment by 19 media and politicians that don't know any of the facts and they have shown no interest in knowing them. 20 And other than this forum, Judge, I'm grateful to you --21 22 can I just make this comment. As a judge I don't 23 regard myself as a part of a elite, the way the media 24 describe it, that is above the law or above guidelines? 25 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I don't thing most judges do either. 26 27 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: NO. But what I do insist upon is that judges have no less constitutional rights than 28

110

anybody else and have a right to fair procedures and

have a right to their good name. And if not, Judge --1 2 I did a lot of work as Attorney General trying to persuade people to become judges and I think people 3 4 have said I've had some success getting good guality of 5 people, if not nobody would become a judge ever again if there's not a fair and reasonable assessment of what 6 7 went on here. 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And I am relying on you, Judge. 9 The Constitution says the State will vindicate your own 10 11 good name and unfortunately, even though you've retired 12 I'm relying upon you, Judge, to vindicate my good name. 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: well now, let's just keep going. 14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 15 The next thing I just wanted to 16 just confirm was your apology --17 MR. JUSTICE WOULEF: Yes. 18 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: -- which you made on 21st August. 19 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Now I have it before me, there's no reason to read it out. But you apologised for your 21 22 unintentional breach of the new guidelines on my behalf. 23 24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Could I stop you for one minute, 25 I found it difficult getting yesterday with my Judae. 26 solicitor getting the exact text. I have a draft but 27 could we just have an exact final text? A copy of I just want to be careful, Judge, that we're 28 that. 29 reading the same document.

Perhaps, Judge, I could show this to 1 MR. MURPHY: 2 Mr. Collins first. This is a typed version, if I show 3 it to Mr. Collins first. MR. JUSTICE WOULEE: I have what I think was the final 4 5 draft. MR. COLLINS: That's fine. 6 7 Please take a minute. MR. MURPHY: 8 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Can you just give me a moment? If you want two minutes or five minutes. 9 MR. MURPHY: No, I'll be quick. Thanks. 10 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 11 Sorry, Judge. Can I explain to you the background to 12 it? 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. do. 14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So going to bed on Thursday night 15 I had driven back, another very long drive back up to 16 northeast Donegal and before I went to bed that night I was back to my news addiction and I flicked on the news 17 18 headlines on, I know I shouldn't, on The Examiner 19 newspaper and I was astonished to see that they had as a lead story something about Dara Calleary attending a 20 golf dinner and I said, 'this is the greatest load of 21 22 rubbish ever now.' I don't know, on the Thursday night 23 had they mentioned my attendance or anybody else, but 24 they had a breaking story that Dara Calleary attended a 25 dinner. I thought nothing of. I thought, 'Jesus, 26 they're really scraping the barrel here during the 27 silly season in August.' But then I woke up the next morning and I think the phrase is, I was absolutely 28 29 dumbfounded to hear that Minister Calleary had resigned

1 or been forced to resign by the Taoiseach, on account 2 of him having attending the dinner. And it was a 3 summary dismissal kind of atmosphere that he had done 4 something terribly wrong. And I was absolutely 5 dumbfounded and shocked. So I hadn't been aware of any breaches of the Regulations or Guidelines at that 6 7 I still hadn't when I saw the news of stage. 8 Dara Calleary resigning and I was astonished. I tried to rack my brain, you know, 'God, was there something 9 here that I've missed? What are they talking about?' 10

12 I think the key thing in the media that the media were 13 pressing was that there had been a new rule that the 14 number had gone from 50 to six and I was astonished by 15 that. I was amazed at it. If I had time to think 16 clearly, I can go through it in a moment, I would have 17 known that that couldn't really happen. Can I just 18 explain how this works?

11

19

20 NPHET come up with recommendations - and I was at Cabinet for some of this. So NPHET produce 21 22 recommendations. The recommendations then usually go 23 to a Cabinet committee which consider them. Some of 24 the recommendations can end up as statutory instrument 25 rule, say the rule about 50, far more end up as 26 quidelines, quidance, protocol, advice, whatever you want to call it. And these are not unique. 27 So there would be similar guidelines or whatever for big stores 28 29 like Ikea opening up, for the building trade opening

up, right. We'd have no involvement in those, we'd 1 2 have involvement in just the statutory instrument. But the Cabinet decide we're going to adopt these 3 restrictions. The restrictions cannot come into effect 4 5 immediately because they have to translated into what 6 bit of it is in SI, what bit of it is in guidelines and 7 what bit of it is in neither, that it's just kind of a 8 good idea by NPHET? For instance, the social distancing thing about two metres was largely only ever 9 advice because it was regarded as being too impossible 10 11 of, you know, enforcement. So what you have first of all is a 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 13 Government announcement. 14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, we'll go back a little bit. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 15 Right, okay. 16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: This is the way it works and I was 17 part of previous ones. We used to meet on a Friday. 18 So earlier in the week in NPHET, perhaps as late as 19 Thursday, and there were a lot of complaints about things being done in too much of a hurry and staff very 20 unhappy about the pressures and all of that. 21 But maybe 22 the NPHET thing would only come out on a Tuesday. 23 There would be a Cabinet committee meeting to filter 24 that before it went to Cabinet. There'd have to be a 25 memo for Government, like any Government decision, which explains what it is the Government want to do. 26 27 And the memo for the Government in the first paragraph would set out the proposed Government decision that 28 29 either the Minister or the Taoiseach was asking the

1 Government to do this. My understanding is now, and 2 from what I've heard and everything that I've read, there was mass confusion, if not chaos in Government 3 this week. And you've got to factor in August, people 4 5 on holidays, the Attorney General perhaps in Kerry or wherever on holidays. The other key man in the whole 6 7 thing is the Secretary General to the Department of An 8 Taoiseach. The only two people that go to Cabinet that are not elected ministers are the Secretary General to 9 the Taoiseach, who writes up the minutes and records 10 11 what the decision is, sat to my right, he sat next to the Taoiseach and I sat two places from the Taoiseach 12 as Attorney General. Now, when the Government 13 14 decision, if they made one - I haven't seen the text of the Government decision - I doubt if the memo for 15 16 Government asked them for such a rule to come in with immediate effect because that would have been treated 17 18 with shock, if they had circulated the memo. So the 19 proper procedure is the memo should go in on a Friday, be circulated, another department can go back and say, 20 if something like that was in it, 'this is crazy, it 21 22 can't happen, it's never been done before.' That would have been the reaction, I think, of my officials, if it 23 24 had been done properly. But I understand, you'll recall the Taoiseach's phrase, or the Tánaiste's phrase 25 - everybody falls into that mistake now - "if we keep 26 27 on governing like this we won't be governing very long." I did pick up that in the general news 28 29 headlines that they had failed to filter it through the

1 Cabinet committee, they had gone straight to Cabinet on 2 I suspect, although I can't prove this, that Tuesdav. they only got it on the table, it wasn't circulated, or 3 they'd no time for their officials to brief them and I 4 5 suspect what may have happened is that it's a new 6 Taoiseach, it's a new Minister for Health, that this 7 thing about immediate was effect was said the press 8 conference. I can't be sure of this now but I suspect it was said at the press conference -- usually the 9 10 Taoiseach and maybe the Tánaiste and maybe the Chief 11 Medical Officer give a press conference after the 12 Cabinet meeting.

13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: But I mean from the point of view 14 of the public, the public and we've actually touched on this with Mr. Collins, I mean the public hear about it 15 16 on the 18th. The Government announcement. 17 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes, on the Tuesday night. 18 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: On the Tuesday night. 19 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. The Government normally issue an actual announcement, I don't know, you can 20 maybe get a copy of that on the website. I haven't 21 22 been able to find it. I don't know if that said anything about immediate effect, but can I make the 23 24 point, Judge, they had to withdraw from that on 25 Wednesday morning and you have those documents in the 26 engineer's report, because it's not capable of coming 27 into operation with immediate effect. Some of it has to go into an SI. For instance and don't forget this, 28 29 like, it shows the chaos and confusion, even if they

wanted to bring in a rule about six with immediate
 effect, they couldn't do it until the minister amended
 the statutory instrument and signed it. So there was
 no hope of that.

5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

6 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And those statutory instruments 7 were done in the Attorney General's Office. Those 8 Many aren't. So after the Tuesday night there were. had to be a process. And like you've seen these 9 10 guidelines, where are they? Like they're not just, you 11 know, on the back of a cigarette packet, there are 12 several pages of it.

13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

14 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: So these had to be changed.

15 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No matter what was said and even 17 if was reported, and I didn't hear the reports, even if 18 it was reported that they were changing the rules from 19 50 to six it couldn't happen automatically.

20 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: But unfortunately for everybody,

21 the impression was that Tuesday night Government

announces 50 to six and then everything takes off.

23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And perhaps Minister Calleary was 24 forced out on a false premise on the Thursday morning,

25 it may well have been. Unless they feel that it's

26 enough -- look, we won't speculate.

27 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: No.

28 MR. COLLINS: You were asked about the apology, Séamus,
29 why did you make the apology, as you did?

1 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Okay. But all this is relevant 2 because there's a keyword -- sorry, I'll just say first of all I was dumbfounded. What did I do? I couldn't 3 think of any breaches by me except I thought, look, 4 5 like anybody, maybe I didn't social distance a hundred percent perfectly all through the night, which I think 6 7 probably applies to us all if we go to any gathering or 8 family event. And that's all I could think of 9 initially.

10

11 However, I spoke to one or two people and I decided I 12 would make an apology because one or two other people 13 had apologies, for any unintentional breach of any 14 guidelines on my part. Now, I was a bit hesitant about 15 doing it because I wasn't sure what I was apologising 16 for, but if was there any unintentional breach, for instance, if the number was six and I hadn't known it, 17 18 as was being presented. And I think what's very 19 interesting is - and I've only noticed this yesterday 20 when I went back to the apology - the fact that I said "do apologise for any unintentional breach of any of 21 22 the new guidelines on my part." 23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: That's right. I picked that up, 24 absolutely. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 25 I didn't notice that, Judge. And

of course, if I thought it through -- again, I was getting journalists ringing me texting me, people calling for me to resign before they knew any of the facts on that Friday morning. Of course if I thought

it through I would have known that the Guidelines, it's 1 2 the Regulation that, you know, that applies. And of 3 course in a way -- the Regulation, as you probably 4 know, it took them two weeks to change it then so. Τt 5 was only on 31st August that they changed it. And the 6 impression that it was a tight six of course is not 7 correct either. It's groups of six. So it could still 8 be 50 but groups of six.

9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

10 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: so there's so much

misrepresentation and false reporting all around.
MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: No, I understand your apology and
it's for unintentional breach of any of the new
guidelines on your part and you expressed regret and
you unreservedly apologised. So I mean that's an
important part of the picture.

17 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Can I just say, Judge, you know I 18 maintain that position. If it still is the case that 19 there was intentional breach on my part I obviously apologise, still apologise and apologise again. 20 But it is fair to say that it appears now, objectively, that 21 22 there was no breach by the organisers, let alone by me. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 23 But there's no harm in an apology. No, of course. And it was 24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 25 sincerely meant at the time. I was shocked and 26 appalled, and absolutely appalled that I might have 27 been, even you want to call it an innocent partaker or participant, or present at a breach by somebody else. 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 29 Yes.

1 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And I was probably to some extent 2 in a state of shock on that Friday morning. But the 3 apology was genuine and I repeat it here again, for the record, for the transcript that if there was any 4 5 unintentional breach on my part, which I'm not clear if 6 there was. 7 Well I understand that. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: No. no. 8 So now let me just retrace back just to cover another 9 area. 10 11 You took the Declaration before the Supreme Court before the Chief Justice which is of course a very 12 13 solemn declaration, and you became a judge of the 14 Supreme Court? 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 16 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Now, you have talked about the 17 documents you were given by the Court Service? 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 19 Were you given any documents 20 regarding judicial conducts and ethics by the Court? MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 21 NO. 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Or by AJI? MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 23 NO. 24 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Have you ever read a judicial code 25 of conduct or a quide to judicial ethics? MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: You know, parts of some of the 26 documents that Mr. Collins has handed up. 27 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Before, I'm talking about on your 29 Declaration day --

1 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No.

2 -- or immediately afterwards. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 3 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: NO. Like I was in the mindset 4 that August/September I was going to have time, 5 September really to read some of that and stuff about 6 the Supreme Court generally and procedure and all of 7 I was going to spend time. I wasn't going to that. 8 have any reserved judgments to do in September but I had offered -- the Chief Justice asked me would I sit 9 in the Court of Appeal in September to help clear the 10 11 backlog and Judge Birmingham had asked me before this, and I had said to the Chief Justice more than that, I 12 13 would be happy to drop down and sit as a High Court 14 Judge for three weeks to help clear the Covid backlog in the Judicial Review/Non-Jury List and unfortunately 15 16 this has prevent me from doing that. And I did notice 17 in the newspapers some student had wanted to bring a 18 case and Judge Meenan said there was no judges. 19 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Oh, yes, it's a problem. Were you 20 told of any introductory programme for new judges? MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 21 NO. 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Now, as an eminent lawyer you must 23 have realised that there are restrictions on judges? 24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: In a broad sense, yes. 25 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: In a broad sense. I mean they can 26 be -- originally they were much, much more strict than 27 they are now. 28 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I mean originally the idea was

that essentially you couldn't communicate, go to community events at all and we have some wonderful quotes which I won't put on the record here, in a document about what in the 1920s was the position of judges. Today a judge's conduct is still subject to scrutiny, for example, I'm just looking at the Canadian Ethics where they say:

9 "A judge's conduct (both in and out of court) is bound to be the subject of public scrutiny and comment. 10 11 Judges must therefore accept some restriction on their 12 activities, even activities that would not elicit at 13 first notice if carried out by other members of the 14 community. Judges need to strike a delicate balance 15 and the requirements of judicial office and the 16 legitimate plans of a judge's personal life, 17 development and family."

18
19 Bearing that kind of code in mind, if you had been told
20 about it, would you have had any second thoughts about
21 asking the Chief Justice could you go to the golf
22 classic?

23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I think if I'd read that or 24 thought about it would have encouraged me to ask the 25 Chief Justice to check, but I would have felt it was on 26 the right side of the line for the reasons I've already 27 state and I won't repeat them all. Can I make this 28 point?

29 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

1 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That perhaps I was even better 2 placed than, you were very kind to say eminent lawyer, 3 but we'll say experienced lawyer than most, having been Attorney General and having been, you know, in the 4 5 middle and see, you know, both sides in a way. And I mentioned earlier an experience which I think we maybe 6 7 both had with a judge who was considering was what he 8 was doing on the right side of the line or not and he 9 came to me I think after speaking to you as to what was appropriate or not. And my answer to him was I 10 11 couldn't give him a complete answer. If the book stuck 12 to legal matters fine, but if it ventured into 13 political arena or policy matters, he was endangering 14 his territory.

15 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes.

16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The book has come out since. 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes, it has indeed. Just in this 18 general idea of, I'm looking at the Guide to Judicial 19 Conduct from the Australian Institute of Judicial 20 Administration Incorporated, it's one of the leading 21 exams around the world and under 6.10 "Social and 22 Recreational Activities":

23

"There is such a wide range of social and recreational
activities in which a judge may wish to engage that it
is not possible to do more than suggest some
guidelines. Judges should themselves assess whether
the community may regard a judge's participation in
certain activities inappropriate. In cases of doubt it

1 is better to err on the side of caution and judges 2 generally will be anxious and careful to guard their 3 own reputation." 4 5 And then they give a whole list of, some of which Mr. Collins referred to. 6 7 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. 8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Now, if you had had the benefit of reading the Australian, would that have made any 9 difference to your decision to first of all ask the 10 Chief Justice? 11 12 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: I don't think so. I think in 13 cases of doubt, and I had some small doubt and that was 14 the reason for asking the Chief Justice. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 15 Perception is so important, as 16 Mr. Collins said. MR. JUSTICE WOULEE: 17 Of course. 18 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: It's often not the act it's the perception of the consequences from being at a 19 20 particular place. Can I just say something else? 21 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: The fact that last year at the 24 event I played with a particular former politician and 25 then I met a serving judge who was at the event, I think that had some impact on me that this seemed to 26 27 be, there was some precedent for it. I didn't think deeply about it but I think subconsciously that made 28 29 they made me think this is probably -- a serving judge

and a retired judge were both at it and I've since 1 2 learned that several judges have played over the years. 3 And I got a message from one over the weekend saying 4 nobody batted an eyelid and he thinks the separation of 5 powers argument is ridiculous is the only way I can describe it. That it's a purely social and 6 I don't want to name people and 7 recreational event. 8 get people into trouble here --9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Oh, no, don't. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: -- to encounter what I have 10 11 encountered, but I'm talking about now several judges 12 apparently have played in it. The organisers have told 13 me. And some of the judges themselves have volunteered 14 the fact that they played in it. So that says a 15 certain amount, even though I didn't know it at the 16 time, but it goes to the understanding that this was a 17 social and recreational event and it was very much at 18 the bottom of the tree, in the same place as the 19 Chairman's dinner and the benchings. 20 MR. COLLINS: Can I add something to that, Judge, if I 21 might? 22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. 23 I'm reading from that document I handed MR. COLLINS: 24 in from the Canadian Judicial Council and just on page 25 21 under the heading "Adopt an irreproachable conduct 26 outside the courtroom", and they say: 27 "Judges must show respect for the law in their private 28 29 As well, a judge must behave in public in a life.

manner that fosters respect for the judiciary. Judges
 are not expected to be hermits and are entitled to
 enjoy life with their friends and families. However,
 they must be wary of socialising or associating with
 anyone connected with the cases that come before them."

7I suppose it's really I point I've made before, there8had to be some level of connection --

9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Oh yes.

6

10MR. COLLINS: -- with the potential to exercise the11judicial function for even to trigger this level of12scrutiny or some level of scrutiny. That's all I13wanted to add.

14 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I think probably, as we have 15 touched on before, a factor has been the media coverage 16 and then the events like Dara Calleary apologising and resigning and Jerry Buttimer apologising and resigning 17 18 and the Whip being removed from a series of senators, 19 and Donie Cassidy resigning from Vice-President of 20 Fianna Fáil, that has put a sort of prism on the event which has brought up a great deal of press media at the 21 22 In other words the reaction immediately time. afterwards. 23

24 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes. It seems to have been the, 25 what I would describe as the throwing a tank of petrol 26 on a small flame by forcing Dara Calleary to resign 27 summarily without any chance to check the facts on 28 Thursday morning that seems to have ignited the whole 29 maelstrom. You know, if he'd have been given -- I

can't understand for the life of me, okay, you can't
solve this, Judge, and I can't, but why that poor man
was not given at least 24 hours. Does our Constitution
not mean anything? Are politicians totally devoid of
constitutional rights? I made this point to a few
people, Judge, and it's a bit rhetorical, but if you
don't mind indulging me for a couple of minutes.

8

In my first lecture ever - I remember it very clearly -9 in Trinity College, Dublin, October 1980, 10 constitutional law, Prof. Robert Heuston and it stuck 11 12 with me forever as a student and a lawyer and now a 13 judge hopefully, he said to us in whatever theatre it 14 was, 60 of us, he said: "The most important principles 15 that you're going to hear in the next four years are 16 rule of law." He said: "The rule of law consists of 17 two factors, one is nemo judex in causa, cauda sui and 18 the second one, even more important is audi alteram 19 partem." You do not prejudge people. You always, in any dispute -- in dealings with people, if you ever 20 become a lawyer, you wait and you hear the other side. 21 22 I'm lucky that I've had this process to do that. NOW, it's... look, what can I say? 23 24 MR. COLLINS: Can I just add two things about the media 25 impact. One is that much of it was sparked by the

notion that 80 people were in a room having dinner
together which, if it were true, would have been an
obvious breach of the guidelines and people said,
'well, how can people in positions of responsibility

1 stand over doing that?' And hence the calls for 2 resignation, including Judge Woulfe's resignation. And 3 that just wasn't the factually correct thing. But the 4 other point is, in terms of assessing - and I've made 5 this point earlier - in terms of assessing Judge Woulfe's conduct the one thing we can't do, and I 6 7 may respectfully say your views cannot be informed by 8 the feeling of the populist view, particularly one based on an incorrect premise, as to why politicians 9 I mean politicians frequently will bend 10 should resign. 11 with the wind because they have a political electorate, 12 they want to get elected, they want to keep a 13 popularity, stakes and so on. Judges aren't in the 14 popularity business because they're not elected in this 15 jurisdiction, and it is really important that they are 16 not assessed or judged by reference to the way politicians see their own self-interest in why they 17 18 have to take certain steps in a political maelstrom 19 when, affecting a judge and his or her position is a 20 totally different position and with huge constitutional dimensions. 21

22 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Absolutely. I understand. 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Just for completeness, I know 24 we're probably finished, can I just add that I feel terribly sorry for the people who organised this event. 25 They did it in good faith, they meant no harm and 26 27 they've been absolutely pilloried as if they're responsible for something appalling and dreadful. 28 Ι 29 also feel sorry for some of the unfortunate politicians

1 who feel the need to confess to a crime they may not 2 have committed, or don't know whether they committed or 3 not, but feel that the media torture and the opprobrium 4 from their community resulting from it is too great to 5 fight their corner in any way, or bother trying to establish the true facts. And one of those individuals 6 7 sent me a text yesterday wishing me well but saying 8 "I'm broken".

9 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Just a couple of little points, a 10 couple of points.

11

12 Do you accept, from your assessment of the media, which 13 we've been discussing, that we have a huge public 14 controversy that has arisen in this area? 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Yes, it but it looks objectively 16 to be completely fake, overblown. Every paragraph starts off "attended a dinner of 80 people". Virtually 17 18 every paragraph of media coverage says that. If 19 they're having an interview with Joe Duffy about his 20 life they throw in a question, 'isn't it appalling what those people did in Clifden?' Virtually every article 21 22 I've read, I've gone back to the Sunday newspapers. 23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Stop reading them. I mean the 24 reality is we have a huge public controversy. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 25 Yes. 26 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And do you accept that your

27 presence at the dinner may have created a public 28 controversy which could have adversely affected the 29 Supreme Court?

1MR. JUSTICE WOULFE:Can you ask that question again?2MS. JUSTICE DENHAM:well, it's really -- you accept

that there is a huge public controversy --

4 MR. JUSTICE WOULEE: Yes.

5 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: -- which has arisen from the 6 dinner and do you accept that your presence at the 7 dinner may have created a public controversy which 8 could adversely affected the Supreme Court? 9 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Okay, that's a difficult question. In one sense I suppose that is so, that for the media 10 11 to also have the chance to bring down a judge adds an extra fuel to the fire and it did add to the 12 13 controversy that I was there. Even if objectively 14 there was no valid reason why I shouldn't have been 15 But taking the world we live in in the more there. 16 subjective sense and in that sense could be seen as, 17 you know, bringing the Supreme Court into controversy. 18 But objectively I think it's more damaging to the 19 Supreme Court if they allow some sort of theoretical 20 damage to the institution prevail over hounding a judge out of office for no valid reason. And I would hope 21 22 that the Supreme Court didn't prejudge the matter in 23 the way that so many other people did. I would hope 24 they didn't and they'll have your report to actually 25 outline the true facts.

26

3

Unfortunately I think even judges are not above
prejudging, Judge, and in this mood of hysteria I can't
be sure that even some of my colleagues have prejudged

1 me.

2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I think also, do you accept the 3 context and the context is the pandemic, where you have 4 six months of not going to christenings, not holding 5 weddings, not being able to go to your family's 6 funerals.

7 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: of course.

8 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And a pent-up session in the
9 country.

10 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Of course. This was a very 11 unfortunate set of circumstances and unfortunate 12 timing. And for those people to be presented by the 13 media with what appeared to be a flagrant breach of the 14 Regulations and the Guidelines was like letting off a 15 bomb.

16 MR. COLLINS: Isn't that key point? Because it's 17 perfectly understandable how people reacted the way 18 they did when it was painted in the way that it was. 19 It wasn't really Judge Woulfe's presence at the dinner 20 in itself that was the problem, because if the matter had been explained properly and factually correctly and 21 22 so on there would be unlikely to be anything like the same reaction to it. The problem was that people got 23 24 understandably immensely annoyed at a version of events 25 as if people in positions of responsibility were disregarding their public obligations to both obey the 26 27 law and follow guidance and so forth at a time of public crisis and understandably people would be 28 29 extremely angry if they thought that other responsible

people weren't doing that. But that's not actually a 1 2 fair criticism to make of Judge Woulfe and he had no reason to think, when he was attending, or decided to 3 go to the golf event and attend the dinner, that 4 5 anything like this was going to happen. So it's very unfortunate insofar as it adversely affects the Supreme 6 7 Court, but it is actually hard to think to attribute 8 some sort of responsibility, let alone any misconduct 9 to Judge Woulfe in a decision to go to the dinner. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Let me just --10

11 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Have I answered that enough about adversely affecting the judiciary? I mean I can't do 12 13 anything about completely false reporting that fails to check out the facts and that boasts about the fact that 14 they had a scoop and they got rid of the Minister and 15 16 savs the next is the commissioner and the next is the judge and everybody's got to resign. And along the way 17 18 makes no effort. Donie Cassidy, one of the organisers 19 who I had to check some details with, he told me that 20 nobody in a senior position has ever asked him for the Nobody in Government. The Taoiseach never 21 facts. 22 asked him for an account of the facts. And one thing that worries me is my understanding is that as of last 23 24 week one of the organisers told me that the Tánaiste at 25 a meeting was insisting that the relevant rule was six people on that Wednesday night and that's why 26 27 Minister Calleary was forced to resign. I mean if the Governments themselves don't understand, or if the 28 29 Attorney General can't explain it to them, I'm sure

1 he's trying hard, what hope have we got? 2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Just to go back to my first questions in relation to this section of our 3 4 discussion. would you agree that guidelines would be 5 desirable? MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 6 Absolutely. And I wish there was 7 a process and I'll take part in the Judicial Council if I'm let, trying to work out guidance and so on. 8 It's very important, I think, for a judge, if anybody faces 9 the kind of queries I faced and will do that there's 10 11 some process where somebody can give a ruling for them 12 in advance. 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: And also I touched upon were you 14 told that you would do a week's course introduction? 15 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: No, nothing, zero. 16 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: That's something you find in other 17 jurisdictions. It sounds like a great idea. I 18 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 19 had that week fairly free at the end of July so it's a pity there wasn't, other than all the bureaucracy 20 you've to go through when you become judge to try and 21 22 get paid. 23 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Absolutely. Is there anything 24 else you wish to add? 25 would it be helpful to you to have five MR. MURPHY: minutes to have a discussion to see if there is 26 27 anything else you want to say and we'll leave the room. I think it would be appropriate to do that. 28 MR. COLLINS: We will take five minutes. 29

1 MR. MURPHY: Or ten, if you wish.

2 MR. COLLINS: Fine.

3

4

5

THE MEETING ADJOURNED BRIEFLY AND RESUMED AS FOLLOWS:

6 MR. COLLINS: Thank you very much, Judge. I think 7 we've said everything really that we need to say in 8 relation to it. I suppose the only point I'd make at 9 the very end is where I started, which is you've got that statutory test in the Act. That's a test for 10 11 misconduct and that can be misconduct, whether it leads 12 to, at the most serious level a removal of a judge or 13 misconduct that leads to a guiet word with a judge 14 saying you should to this, that or the other. Either 15 way, no matter how trivial or serious it is, it still 16 has to meet those requirements in the Act. Those 17 requirements in the Act in turn I think devolve around, 18 in this, case appearance of propriety and it's hard to 19 put an analytical framework on that. Lord Goff once 20 epitomised the judicial function as an educated reflex to facts and there's a bit of that about assessing the 21 22 propriety of a judge. But I think in circumstances 23 where you look at each stage of the day, where 24 certainly from the separation of powers point nothing 25 changed during the day. I mean whether he's playing golf or he decides he's going to eat with four people 26 27 over a sandwich or sit at a table to have dinner with them, the separation of powers point is common to all 28 29 of that. Nothing changes in the calculus of how you

1assess the separation of powers issue. Either it's2fine to go to the event or it's not fine to go to the3event but I don't think that changes during the day.

4

21

5 And from a Covid perspective, again he made the 6 appropriate enquiries. He did what you would expect 7 He can't be expected to become a Department him to do. of Health watchdog armed with inch tapes and walking 8 around the hotel and measuring distances, and so forth. 9 It does seem that the only question mark you might have 10 11 over the event is the opening of the gap at the end, I 12 think to allow the loud speakers, in effect, to be 13 heard in the other room. The reality is he didn't see 14 that that was so. He was sitting with his back 15 immediately behind it. And even if he had seen it what 16 should he have done? Got up and left? Let's assume 17 so, which would have meant he would have left ten 18 minutes later perhaps, or some minutes earlier than he 19 actually did because he was the end of the evening So it reason is a de minimis point. 20 anyhow.

22 So overall I do genuinely think that the answers to the 23 questions that have been posed to you, cannot be framed 24 in a way that is in any way critical of his conduct. There may be case or is a case for guidelines and 25 26 introductory courses and so forth, and you've touched 27 on some of those, Judge, and some of that material is discussed in some of the academic articles and in some 28 of the articles in the book. 29

2 So that's all I think I wanted to say. 3 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: One final comment, Mr. Collins, 4 I'm very lucky to have his assistance. I know they 5 were done in a mad rush, the statement and the 6 questions on a Monday but it's a funny kind of way in a way to put it should he have? I mean what does that 7 8 mean? In a way I think the true question seems to be is there a clear and very clear and valid reason why he 9 should not have? That seems to me a fairer way to put 10 11 it because it sort of seems to just hang loose in the 12 air, the idea of should he have attended the golf event 13 without attending the dinner? That third one seems very ambiguous. 14 Is it that it's accepted it was okay 15 to go but not to attend the dinner or is it that it's a 16 separation of powers question? Is there a question 17 that he should not have attended at all, leaving out 18 the dinner completely? The first and the third seem 19 to, with respect, be ambiguous and overlapping. IS that only one question number one and number three? 20 Number two is clear, should he have left the hotel, but 21 22 number one, should he have accepted the invitation to 23 dinner? Is that the same as number three? I'm taking 24 it number three is the general separation of powers point. 25 26 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: In a way I think I may well 27 approach is it chronologically in time. Invitation to 28 the golf. 29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Separation of powers point if

1

1 there is one.

2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes. Invitation to the dinner and 3 then the business. So I think it is probably more logical to take it chronologically. 4 5 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That's the way I have done it. 6 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Yes, exactly you have. 7 MR. COLLINS: As regards from here on, we'll give you 8 that little aide-memoire just we had on the statutory instrument. 9 That would be lovely. 10 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 11 MR. COLLINS: And I might just add in a little bit about the guidelines and the appendix and so forth. 12 13 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Great. 14 MR. COLLINS: And beyond that I don't know how you see 15 it progressing from here? 16 MR. MURPHY: I think the position is that the judge and 17 I will have to reflect on all that has been said today, 18 there's been a lot said and that will have to be gone 19 through. I think previous correspondence has indicated when the Judge comes to write and prepare a draft 20 report that will be circulated to you and we will just 21 22 if anything else needs to be dealt with. 23 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: If there was any indication of 24 timetable because I am in a sense now the Chief Justice 25 felt it better I didn't sit and I'd like to help my 26 colleagues as soon as I could. Have you any idea about 27 timetable? This is very tentative because I 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: have some other things to do as well. But I would hope 29

at the end of next week to be framing a draft and so 1 2 three weeks -- I think, subject to everything, say three weeks from now I would hope to circulate a draft 3 4 to you and then if you wish you can either reply in a 5 statement or letter or we can have another meeting in relation to it. And that would therefore be in the 6 7 fourth week and therefore we'd hope to finalise the 8 whole thing by the fifth week, subject to problems. Sorry, Judge, when you say three 9 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: weeks' time, this is the week of the 7th, do you mean 10 that would be the week of the 28th? 11 12 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: Let me now just think. I'm 13 fitting a few things in. 14 MR. MURPHY: It might be possible for the Judge to come 15 back. 16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Maybe if we go off the transcript. 17 It's important to me because I'm trying --18 MR. MURPHY: I just think it would be important for the 19 Judge to have even a few minutes to think about that. 20 Even if we came back to you tomorrow with that. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: If it were at all possible, Judge, 21 22 and I totally appreciate you taking on this task in 23 retirement with lots of other nicer things to do, but 24 the first day of term is Monday October 5th and it 25 would be very important for me to have this behind me 26 and be back fully at work with my colleagues on Monday, 27 5th October. How many weeks is that from now? 28 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 29 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That is four weeks from yesterday.

1 And if it were at all possible for you to get the draft 2 report to us sooner we will turn it round by return 3 virtually, very, very quickly. And then, you know, the Chief Justice gets it and I would like this to be done 4 5 and dusted and in a position to resume work on that first week of term where I have been provisionally 6 7 listed to deal with a case. Even if I can't help my 8 High Court colleagues during September so be it. But also it's quite difficult in the sort of limbo 9 situation, I totally understand the pressures on you 10 and other commitments. 11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 12 You can rest assured that I'm 13 going to move it on as fast as I can. I have a couple 14 of technical problems as well as a couple of events 15 that I have to deal with that I can't put off and I put 16 off a lot of them --MR. JUSTICE WOULEE: 17 I understand. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: -- to give time to this. 18 SO I 19 think the most I could tell you at this stage is I will do my very best to get it in a week before the 5th, to 20 21 get it to you a week before that. 22 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That's the week starting Monday 23 28th September then? 24 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I can't give you --MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: 25 I understand, we won't hold you to 26 it. MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 27 I'll do my very best. MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: And that is still technically the 28 29 vacation all the way up to the following Monday, so

1 perhaps everything could be completed that week. 2 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: If we can get to that with any luck we could complete it quickly and we will certainly 3 4 all be trying to complete it quickly. 5 MR. MURPHY: I do think the Judge has to take the time, as is necessary, to consider the very large amount --6 7 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Of course. Could I ask one other question on procedure? Are you now basing your view 8 9 upon solely the materials that you received from us and today or are you proposing to contact anybody else? 10 11 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: I am basing it solely on the 12 materials that have been before us today and some of 13 your books, you haven't opened the material but the 14 authorities and things like that. I am talking to 15 absolutely nobody else. Absolutely nobody else. 16 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: That's clear, thank you. So there is no question of anybody 17 MS. JUSTICE DENHAM: 18 else. Thank you very much indeed. 19 MR. JUSTICE WOULFE: Thanks very much. 20 MR. COLLINS: Thanks very much. 21 22 THE MEETING THEN CONCLUDED 23 24 25 26 27 28 29